The temporal dynamics of perceptual uncertainty: eye movement evidence from Cantonese segment and tone perception

Jessie S. Nixon^a, Jacolien van Rij^b, Peggy Mok^c, R. Harald Baayen^b, Yiya Chen^d

^aDepartment of Pyschology, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany ^bQuantitative Linguistics Group, University of Tubingen, Germany ^cChinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong ^dLeiden University Centre for Linguistics, Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

Determining a speaker's message requires discrimination between discrete alternatives based on inherently noisy, non-discrete acoustic cues. This entails that there is always some degree of uncertainty in perception of speech. Despite well-documented effects of gradient sensitivity to within-category acoustic variation and growing interest in statistical effects in speech perception, very little is yet known about the time course of perceptual uncertainty in speech percpetion. Two visual world evetracking experiments investigated how changes in acoustic cue values and the amount of within-category acoustic variation affect perceptual certainty during perception of Cantonese speech sound contrasts. Participants saw four pictures on screen and heard an auditory stimulus. Critical pictures were of word pairs that were identical except for initial consonants (Experiment 1), which were unaspirated (bou2, 'treasure') or aspirated (pou2 'shop'); or tones (Experiment 2), which were high (jin1, 'carpet') or mid (jin3, 'arrow'). Auditory stimuli consisted of a continuum of 12 tokens of increasing VOT (Experiment 1) or pitch (Experiment 2). The number of times participants heard each token followed a bimodal distribution. The amount of within-category variation differed between conditions: low-variance versus high-variance. Eye movements were monitored until participants selected a picture by clicking on it. The Euclidean distance of fixations from the target and competitor pictures was analysed using Generalised Additive Mixed Modelling. Results showed that the distance of fixations from target and competitor pictures over the course

Preprint submitted to Journal of Memory and Language

24th June 2016

of the trial varied as a function of VOT value (Experiment 1) or pitch (Experiment 2), providing evidence for gradient, nonlinear sensitivity to cue values. Interestingly, the time course of these effects differed between the target distance and competitor distance models. Moreover, in both experiments, the effect of the acoustic cue value significantly interacted with how much acoustic variation participants heard. In the VOT models, fixations were closer to the competitor in the high-variance condition. However, in the pitch models, the category boundary was shifted and the opposite pattern emerged. This indicates that the shape of the acoustic cue distribution plays an essential role in perceptual processing. With little statistical variance, speech sound representations become more robust. Yet they also lead to greater uncertainty in the face of unexpected speech tokens. In addition, the pattern of effects over time suggests that the effect of statistical distribution (cue variance) suggests a global strategy in response to the level of uncertainty: as uncertainty increases, verification looks also increase.

Keywords:

discriminative learning, statistical learning, speech perception, Cantonese, lexical tone

1 1. Introduction

Human listeners rely on highly variable, non-discrete acoustic informa-2 tion to discriminate between the different possible messages a speaker might 3 intend to convey in an utterance. The question of how acoustic variation 4 affects perceptual uncertainty during speech processing is an intriguing one. 5 Listeners use variation *between* speech sounds to discriminate between words 6 and messages. For example, in English, voice onset time (VOT) is longer in 7 voiceless sounds (e.g. the p/in pat) than voiced sounds (e.g. the b/in8 bat). VOT is the time between the release burst of the consonant and the 9 onset of voicing in the vowel, and is the most important cue for distinguishing 10 voiced from voiceless sounds in English. However, there is also a considerable 11 amount of variation within speech categories. For example, the mean VOT of 12 English /p/ is 58 ms (Lisker and Abramson, 1964), but /p/ can be produced 13 with a range of VOTs. Acoustic variation can even occur in productions 14 of the same word by the same speaker in the same phonetic context under 15 controlled lab settings (Newman, Clouse, and Burnham, 2001) and increases 16 greatly across speakers (Ladefoged and Broadbent, 1957), in different phon-17 etic contexts (Nixon, Chen, and Schiller, 2015a) and even depending on word 18 frequency (Gahl, 2008). 19

The high degree of variation in the acoustic signal means that there is 20 nothing in the speech stream that conclusively points to particular mean-21 ings, words or even phonemes. The listener can only use cues to assess the 22 likelihood that a speaker intended one message rather than another, mean-23 ing that there is always some degree of uncertainty in the process of speech 24 perception. In addition to the issue of within-category acoustic variation, 25 listeners also face the challenge of changes in the whole statistical distribu-26 tion of acoustic cues in particular contexts, for example, when encountering 27 a new speaker or accent. Recent evidence suggests that both variation in 28 acoustic cues (McMurray, Tanenhaus, and Aslin, 2002; McMurray, Aslin, 29 Tanenhaus, Spivey, and Subik, 2008a; McMurray, Tanenhaus, and Aslin, 30 2009) and changes in the statistics of cue distributions affect listeners' level 31 of perceptual uncertainty during speech perception (Clayards, Tanenhaus, 32 Aslin, and Jacobs, 2008; Escudero, Benders, and Wanrooij, 2011; Escudero 33 and Williams, 2014; Wanrooij, Boersma, and van Zuijen, 2014; Wanrooij, 34 Escudero, and Raijmakers, 2013; Liu and Kager, 2011). The present study 35 aims to contribute to our understanding of perceptual uncertainty in speech 36 perception by examining the time course of effects of a) variation in acoustic 37

³⁸ cues and b) the degree of variance in statistical distributions of acoustic cues
³⁹ in native Cantonese listeners. In this paper, we use the term *variance* to
⁴⁰ describe, in a given speech sample, the amount of acoustic variation there is
⁴¹ within a speech category. This term refers to the degree to which acoustic
⁴² values spread out from the mean of the distribution of that speech category.
⁴³ A variance of zero means that all values are identical.

Early accounts claimed that speech perception was 'categorical' in that 44 listeners were unable to detect within-category acoustic variation, and only 45 able to detect variation when it occurred across boundaries. Evidence in 46 favour of this claim came from studies showing sharp categorisation functions 47 between speech categories, and chance-level performance in detecting within-48 category acoustic differences (e.g. Liberman, Harris, Hoffman, and Griffith, 49 1957; Ferrero, Pelamatti, and Vagges, 1982; Schouten and van Hessen, 1992). 50 However, more recently, abundant evidence has accumulated demonstrating 51 listeners' remarkable sensitivity to fine-grained phonetic information, given 52 the appropriate task (e.g. Andruski, Blumstein, and Burton, 1994; Dahan, 53 Magnuson, Tanenhaus, and Hogan, 2001; Marslen-Wilson and Warren, 1994; 54 Utman, Blumstein, and Burton, 2000; McMurray et al., 2008a, 2002, 2009). 55 Moreover, not only are listeners sensitive to gradient acoustic variation, 56 they are able to rapidly adapt to context-specific changes in acoustic char-57 acteristics of speech, based on the effectiveness of a particular dimension 58 for speech recognition (Idemaru and Holt, 2011, 2014). Relatedly, listen-59 ers are also sensitive to *frequency* distributions of acoustic cues. One line 60 of research has investigated how the acoustic distance between speech cat-61 egories affects categorisation accuracy. For example, several studies have 62 shown that when trained with a unimodal distribution (no distance between 63 categories), participants are less likely to categorise the endpoints of a dis-64 tribution as different, compared to when they are trained with a bimodal 65 distribution (Maye and Gerken, 2000; Maye, Weiss, and Aslin, 2008; Liu 66 and Kager, 2011; Escudero and Williams, 2014; Wanrooij et al., 2014; Maye, 67 Werker, and Gerken, 2002). Even when trained with a bimodal distribution, 68 a greater distance between categories improves categorisation accuracy, com-69 pared to training with a bimodal distribution with a small distance between 70 categories (Escudero et al., 2011; Wanrooij et al., 2013). 71

Much of the research in adult distributional learning has focused on the acquisition and development of non-native contrasts. For example, a series of recent studies has investigated the effects of statistical distributions on nonnative perception of Dutch vowel contrasts (Escudero et al., 2011; Gulian,

Escudero, and Boersma, 2007; Wanrooij et al., 2013). Motivated by the ob-76 servation that infant and foreigner directed speech has a 'stretched' vowel 77 space, Escudero et al. (2011) investigated effects of the acoustic interval 78 between vowel categories in second language acquisition. They used *nat*-79 *ural bimodal* (reduced acoustic interval; i.e. vowel categories were similar to 80 each other) versus enhanced bimodal distributions (increased acoustic inter-81 val) to train Spanish learners to distinguish a Dutch vowel contrast. After 82 two minutes of exposure natural bimodal or enhanced distributions, there 83 was an increase in 'correct' categorisation, compared to the music (control) 84 group. This increase only reached significance in the enhanced group. 85

Most studies of distributional learning in adults have used offline categor-86 isation responses as the measure of learning. Categorisation measures provide 87 information about the final outcome of the decision process; however, they do 88 not provide information about online processing during perception itself. In 80 discussions of effects on categorisation, it is often implicitly or explicitly as-90 sumed that assigning tokens to one category rather than two occurs because 91 the two tokens were not discriminated. This assumption may not necessarily 92 be justified. In a forced-choice categorisation task, regardless of the degree 93 of uncertainty, or any gradient degree of goodness of fit with one category or 94 another, the participant must make a binary choice. While it is interesting 95 that factors such as cue distribution can affect even the final outcome of the 96 decision process, examining the moment by moment online processing can 97 tell us about how subtle differences in statistical distributions can affect the 98 development of perceptual processes over time, prior to the decision process. 99 One interesting and innovative recent evetracking study (Clayards et al., 100

2008) is, to the best of our knowledge, the only other study that has used 101 online measures to investigate statistical processing of acoustic cues dur-102 ing perception of native speech contrasts. This study has examined how the 103 *amount* of within-category acoustic variation affects perceptual certainty. Us-104 ing the visual world paradigm (VWP; Allopenna, Magnuson, and Tanenhaus, 105 1998), Clavards et al. (2008) tested the hypothesis that greater variation in 106 the acoustic signal would lead to greater perceptual uncertainty. Native 107 English-speaking participants saw four pictures on screen, heard an audit-108 ory stimulus and were instructed to click on the picture of the word they 109 heard. Critical picture stimuli consisted of pairs of words beginning with 110 /b/and /p/ (e.g. 'beach' and 'peach'). Auditory stimuli consisted of a VOT 111 continuum which spanned the word pair (e.g. from beach to peach). Present-112 ation frequency of the tokens on the continuum always followed a bimodal 113

distribution. However, the amount of within-category acoustic variation was
manipulated between participants: participants heard either a high-variance
or low-variance distribution of the acoustic stimuli.

In the analysis, the proportion of categorisation responses was calculated 117 per participant per condition and for each token on the VOT continuum. 118 Overall, the categorisation slope was shallower in the high-variance condi-119 tion, indicating that with greater variation in the acoustic input, participants 120 were less consistent in their assignment of cues to the contrastive categories. 121 Eye movement data were also analysed for the six points on the continuum 122 that had sufficient data points, three each for the /b/and /p/words. There 123 was a significant effect of distribution condition for the /b/ words and a signi-124 ficant interaction between distribution condition and VOT token for the /p/125 words. In both word types, the effect was carried by the VOT token closest 126 to the category boundary; however, the trend was similar for all VOT tokens 127 analysed: there were more looks to the competitor in the high-variance, com-128 pared to the low-variance condition. This provided evidence that the amount 129 of variation in the acoustic signal has direct effects on speech perception: in-130 creased variance can lead to an increase in perceptual uncertainty. 131

Our understanding of how acoustic variance affects perceptual certainty 132 could be enhanced by knowing at what point in time these effects come 133 into play. While Clayards et al. (2008) examined the effects of acoustic cue 134 variance on eye movements, the measure reported in their study was the pro-135 portion of looks over the whole trial. Information about the time course of 136 effects is important for understanding the underlying mechanism. As listen-137 ers gain experience with the input distribution, does statistical information 138 affect the early perceptual processes? Is uncertainty a global effect that 139 influences eye movement behaviour from the onset of the trial? Or is the 140 statistical information used only in the later decision process to discriminate 141 between alternative candidates? The present study aims to address these 142 questions by examining changes in eye movement patterns over the course of 143 the trial, including nonlinear interactions between predictors over time. 144

Similarly, although listeners' ability to detect and respond to withincategory variation is now well established, few studies have investigated the time course of its effects. One recent VWP study investigated 'lexical garden path' recovery in English (McMurray et al., 2009). This study used a VOT continuum to manipulate bilabial stop word-onsets, creating temporarily ambiguous words, such as 'barricade' versus 'parrakeet'. Although the study measured the time course of fixations, the main focus was to establish that sensitivity to VOT variation was gradient, rather than categorical. Therefore, the discussion of the time course mainly focused on establishing that effects of within-category differences in VOT persist over durations longer than a syllable, rather than establishing the point in time where different VOT values diverged.

The large majority of research investigating speech perception processes, 157 in general, and sensitivity to cue values and cue distributions in particular, 158 has been conducted on alphabetic, Indo-European languages, such as Eng-159 lish. The present study examines speech perception by native speakers of a 160 typologically very different language, Hong Kong Cantonese. Cantonese was 161 selected for the present experiments in order to extend the investigation of 162 perceptual uncertainty effects to a new set of speech sounds, which included 163 both the previously-investigated temporal cue, VOT, as well as a supraseg-164 mental cue, pitch (f0), in a lexical tone contrast. Cantonese has a complex 165 tonal system, with six lexical tones (Bauer and Benedict, 1997; Wiener and 166 Turnbull, 2015; Mok and Wong, 2010; Siddins and Harrington, 2015).¹ Three 167 of these are level tones, in which the primary cue is pitch (f0) height. These 168 level tones make Cantonese an ideal language for investigating distributional 169 effects in tone processing. In addition to being a tonal language, Cantonese 170 also differs from English in other important respects. Cantonese uses a lo-171 gographic writing system, in which phonology is not explicitly represented. 172 Each character represents a particular morpheme and is pronounced with a 173 single syllable. The lack of explicit phonological representation influences 174 the phonological awareness of Cantonese speakers, leading to more holistic 175 processing and less awareness of low-level phonological changes (McBride-176 Chang, Bialystok, Chong, and Li, 2004). In addition, compared to English, 177 due to its syllabic structure, Cantonese has a large number of homophones. 178 This means that it is often necessary to rely on top-down context effects 179 to a greater degree in Cantonese than in English. Such cross-linguistic dif-180 ferences call for investigation of typologically diverse languages in order to 181 have a complete understanding of language-general mechanisms in speech 182 perception. 183

The present study. The present study investigates the time course of perceptual uncertainty effects during perception of Cantonese tonal and segmental speech sound contrasts. Two manipulations were expected to affect percep-

¹The number of tones is sometimes reported as nine, including the checked tones.

tual uncertainty: the location of an acoustic cue along the cue continuum, in particular the distance from the category boundary; and the distribution condition, that is, amount of within-category acoustic variance in the signal. These questions were tested with two sets of models. The first examined the Euclidean distance of fixations from the centre of the target picture, and the second examined the Euclidean distance of fixations from the centre of the competitor picture.

We tested four main hypotheses. Since we know of no other similar 194 study of Cantonese speech perception using VWP, we based these hypotheses 195 on studies in English. The first was that the fixations would be further 196 from the target and closer to the competitor picture the closer the acoustic 197 cue values were to the category boundary. This prediction was based on a 198 number of previous studies in English that have shown gradient effects of 199 acoustic cue values using a VOT continuum (e.g. McMurray et al., 2008a; 200 McMurray, Clayards, Tanenhaus, and Aslin, 2008b; McMurray et al., 2009). 201 The second was that fixations would be further from the target and closer to 202 the competitor in the high-variance condition, compared to the low-variance 203 condition, similar to the results of Clayards et al. (2008). 204

Our third and fourth hypotheses relate to the time course of effects, in 205 particular the time course of effects of the acoustic cue value and of acoustic 206 cue variance. McMurray and colleagues (McMurray et al., 2008b, 2009) found 207 that when English-speaking participants were presented with auditory stimuli 208 from a VOT continuum, divergences in eye movements to target pictures 209 began around 600 ms after stimulus presentation. Therefore, we expected 210 to see effects of acoustic cue value start to emerge around 600 ms after 211 presentation. 212

Regarding the time course of effects of acoustic variance, as far as we are 213 aware, the present research is the first to investigate this question in any lan-214 guage. Therefore the study is largely exploratory in this respect. The time 215 course of various other effects during speech perception has been investigated 216 using VWP. For example, McMurray et al. (2008b) asked at what point asyn-217 chronous cues are integrated during speech perception. Their results showed 218 that word-initial cues (voicing and formant transitions) influenced eye move-210 ments to target pictures earlier than cues that occurred later in the signal 220 (vowel length), providing evidence for continuous integration of acoustic cues 221 as the speech signal unfolds. Another study investigated the time course of 222 effects of lexically-guided retuning of a fricative contrast. Mitterer and Rein-223 isch (2013) found that effects of retuning (f-biased versus s-biased training) 224

occurred very early, around 200 ms after frication onset. They argued that this was evidence that retuning occurs at the perceptual level, rather than affecting higher-order decision processes. The present study differs from Mitterer and Reinisch (2013) in that it does not require adjustment of category boundaries. Rather, it investigates participants' responses to higher or lower levels of uncertainty.

Finally, as the VWP involves both auditory perception and a visual component, we controlled for the effects of the location of the pictures on the screen in our analysis. The pictures were randomly assigned to a screen position on each trial. We expect that the vertical (top-bottom) and horizontal (left-right) position of the target and competitor pictures on the screen will influence the distance of fixations from these respective pictures over time.

In addition to testing these hypotheses, we also present a statistical mod-237 elling method (Generalised Additive Mixed Modelling, GAMM; Wood, 2006, 238 2011) that is well suited to analysis of evetracking data. This is not a new 239 statistical method; it has been used in the analysis of a wide variety of ex-240 perimental paradigms investigating cognition of language, as well as other 241 fields. However, as far as we are aware, it has not previously been applied 242 to the analysis of fixation data from the four-field visual world evetracking 243 paradigm. GAMMs are well suited to analysis of data with a time compon-244 ent, because they allow for analysis of changes of a variable over time. They 245 provide solutions to some of the challenges of analysing time series data. 246 such as autocorrelation. They also allow for analysis of complex interactions 247 (including over time) and nonlinear random effects. A description of the 248 modelling method and some of its benefits will be returned to in the Method 249 section. 250

251 2. Experiment 1 Voice onset time

252 2.1. Method

Participants. Thirty-seven native Cantonese-speaking undergraduate students
from the Chinese University of Hong Kong participated in the experiment
for payment. Participants were tested individually in a quiet room.

Experiment design and stimuli. The experiment design and stimuli were based on those presented in Clayards et al. (2008). Visual stimuli were picture pairs whose names began with either bilabial stops ('b', 'p') or alveolar affricates ('j', 'ch'). The two members of each word pair were identical except

 Table 1: Presentation frequency per variant per condition: each variant represents one step on the VOT continuum

						Ν	um	ber	of i	tera	atio	ns
Variant	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Distribution Low-variance	0	6	54	108	54	6	6	54	108	54	6	0
condition High-variance	6	24	54	60	54	30	30	54	60	54	54	6

for the initial consonants, which were either unaspirated (bou3, 'cloth'; jun1 'brick') or aspirated (pou3, 'shop'; chun1, 'village'). Pictures were black-onwhite line drawings.

All auditory stimuli were recorded by a male native speaker of Hong Kong 263 Cantonese. Stimuli were then resynthesised into a 12-step VOT continuum 264 using the Pitch-Synchronous-Overlap-and-Add (PSOLA) method in PRAAT 265 (Boersma and Weenink, 2012), using the unaspirated token as the target for 266 resynthesis. Increasing steps of aspiration were added following the stop or 267 affricate burst before the onset of the vowel. The consonant duration ranged 268 from 0 ms to 88 ms for the stops and 40 ms to 260 ms for the affricates. The 269 vowel portion of the recorded syllables ranged from 432 ms to 571 ms. The 270 number of times participants heard each step followed a bimodal distribution, 271 with the two peaks of the distributions corresponding to the prototypical 272 mean VOT for the unaspirated and aspirated stimuli, respectively (Cheung 273 and Wee, 2008; Ng and Wong, 2009). Ten native Cantonese speakers also 274 participated in a perception test which verified the stimuli. Table 1 shows 275 the presentation frequency of each step on the continuum. Each condition 276 contained 456 tokens, 76 for each word pair. All participants heard the same 277 number of tokens; only the number of times they heard each token varied 278 between conditions: high-variance versus low-variance distributions. 279

The experiment consisted of 456 experimental trials, divided into six blocks of 76 trials, with breaks between blocks. The order of presentation was pseudo-randomised for each participant.

Procedure. Participants sat at a comfortable viewing distance from the computer screen and wore an SR Eyelink II head mounted eye-tracker with a sampling rate of 500 Hz. Stimulus presentation and data acquisition were conducted using SR Research Experiment Builder computer software (2011;



Figure 1: Sample screen display during stimulus presentation.

version 1.10.165). The session began with 12 familiarization trials in which participants saw the pictures and their corresponding written labels once each. This was followed by a practice block to familiarize participants with the experimental procedure. None of the experimental pictures or words were presented during the practice phase.

Each experimental trial began with drift correction to ensure accurate 292 calibration of the equipment, followed by brief presentation (1000 ms) of 293 four pictures, one in each quadrant of the screen (see Figure 1). The purpose 294 of giving an advance preview of the stimuli was to reduce the time and 295 likelihood of participants scanning the pictures at the beginning of the trial, 296 and hence to reduce noise in the eye movement data. The display always 297 contained two test items and two filler items. The location of the picture 298 conditions on screen, as well as their relative location, was randomised to 299 avoid strategic effects. The picture preview disappeared, replaced with a 300 gaze-contingent fixation cross, which ensured participants were looking at the 301 centre of the screen at the beginning of the critical trial period. The pictures 302 reappeared and, simultaneously, one of the auditory stimuli was presented 303 and participants chose the picture they thought most appropriate by clicking 304 on it with the mouse. Eye movements were monitored from the onset of the 305 preview until participants made a response. (Analysis was conducted on a 306 shorter period, starting just prior to the auditory stimulus). 307

308 3. Analysis

Eye movement data were analysed using *Generalised Additive Mixed Modeling* (GAMM; Wood, 2006, 2011) using the mgcv package (version 1.8-7) conducted in R (version 3.2.2; R core team, 2015; www.r-project.org). GAMM is a type of Generalised Linear Modelling (GLM) that uses nonlinear smooth functions to model nonlinear effects for continuous predictors.

Generalised Additive Models² are a well-established method of analysis 314 used with a wide range of psychological, psychophysiological and speech pro-315 duction data, ranging from EEG data (de Cat, Klepousniotou, and Baayen, 316 2014, 2015; Nixon, 2014; Nixon, van Rij, Li, and Chen, 2015b; Tremblay 317 and Newman, 2014), reaction times (Feldman, Milin, Cho, Moscoso del 318 Prado Martin, and O'Connor, forthcoming; Pham, Hien, and Baayen, 2013) 319 and pupilometry (van Rij, Pya, van Rijn, Wood, and Baayen, in preparation) 320 to articulography (Arnold, Wagner, and Baaven, 2013; Tomaschek, Wieling, 321 Arnold, and Baayen, 2013) and dialectology (Wieling, Montemagni, Ner-322 bonne, and Baayen, 2014). As far as we are aware, the present study is the 323 first to apply GAMMs to the typical four-field visual world paradigm, al-324 though it has previously been to used in the analysis of single-field gaze data 325 (van Rij, Hollebrandse, and Hendriks, in press). 326

There are several characteristics of GAMMs that make them particularly 327 well suited to analysis of visual world paradigm eye movement data. Firstly, 328 GAMMs drop the assumption of a linear relationship between dependent and 329 independent variables. Assuming linearity when the relationship in the data 330 is nonlinear can lead to failure to observe regularities or structure that do 331 exist in the data (see Tremblay and Newman, 2014, for a discussion of the be-332 nefits of relaxing the linearity assumption in psychological research). Instead, 333 GAMMs determine the linearity or degree of nonlinearity from the data itself. 334 The method used for this is penalized iteratively re-weighted least squares 335 (PIRLS; see Wood, 2006, for details). PIRLS determines the optimal linear 336 or nonlinear equation for avoiding both over-fitting and over-generalizing of 337 the model. Secondly, GAMMs allow for analysis of continuous variables and 338 nonlinear interactions. This is an advantage for analysis of fixation data, 339 as processing is often influenced by continuous predictors, such as time and, 340

 $^{^{2}}$ The 'mixed' in Generalised Additive Mixed Models refers to the inclusion of random effects, such as participant and item random effects in the present study, in addition to fixed effects. That is, a GAMM is a type of GAM that includes random effects.

in the present study, location on the acoustic continuum; importantly, often 341 several predictors may interact. A third aspect of GAMMs that benefits 342 VWP eye movement analysis is the inclusion of random effects. This allows 343 the model to take into account that repeated measures are taken from par-344 ticipants and items without the need to average over them in the analysis. 345 This is also an important means of reducing autocorrelation (see Baayen, 346 van Rij, de Cat, and Wood, to appear; Baayen, Vasishth, Bates, and Kliegl, 347 2015, for a discussion of the benefits of GAMMs for reducing autocorrelation 348 in language-related experimental data). Finally, a common problem in many 340 experimental data sets, and particularly in data with a time series compon-350 ent, such as eye tracking, is that autocorrelation can occur between data 351 points. In the mgcv package, methods have been implemented specifically to 352 deal with autocorrelation (Baayen et al., to appear). 353

All predictors of interest were entered into a GAMM model. Predictors that did not contribute to model fit were eliminated. Model comparison was conducted using a χ^2 test of fREML scores in the **compareML** function in the **itsadug** package (version version 1.0.4; van Rij, Baayen, Wieling, and van Rijn, 2015) in R. Together with the model comparisons and model plots, the statistics provided by the model summaries were used to determine whether each predictor contributed to the variance explained by the model.

Fixation data were modelled as two separate continuous variables of Eu-361 clidean distance: distance from the centre of the target picture (target dis-362 tance) and distance from the centre of the competitor picture (competitor dis-363 *tance*). Figure 2 shows a sample trial as an illustration of the target distance 364 measure. There are least two advantages to modelling the eve movement 365 data in this way. Firstly, it allowed us to model the data as a gradient meas-366 ure, rather than a binary variable with an arbitrary cut-off point. Because 367 data points that fall short of the target picture or fall between two pictures 368 are included, the distance measure is more likely to pick up on uncertainty 369 effects, such as hesitant oculo-motor movements, undershooting the mark 370 due to low activation or inaccurate movements due to competing activations. 371 Secondly, the models are more robust, because more data is included. We 372 initially ran models with the proportion of fixations on the target picture as 373 the dependent variable. However, this led to artefacts in the early fixations 374 due to insufficient data in the initial 200 ms of the trial. The distance meas-375 ure solved this issue. Separate models were run for each of these dependent 376 variables. 377

³⁷⁸ Because we were interested in the time course of processing over the



Figure 2: Illustration of the Euclidean-distance-from-target measure. This figure shows a random sample of data points from a trial with the target picture in the top right corner. Fixations 1, 2 and 3 are sample fixations from this trial. Note that the absolute X and Y coordinates on the figure axes are measured from the top left corner of the screen. However, the measure of interest (Euclidean distance) is measured from the centre of the target picture. For each fixation, the Euclidean distance (in pixels) from the centre of the target picture is calculated from the X (x-axis) and Y coordinates (y-axis). For a given fixation, a distance greater than 176 is outside the interest area and a distance of 125 or less is within the target picture interest area.

whole trial, from early perceptual processing to later decision processes, the 379 predictor time was included. A 1400 ms time window from -200 ms (i.e. 200 380 ms prior to presentation of the auditory stimulus) to 1200 ms was selected 381 for analysis. After this time, the number of data points became too few, as 382 mean response time was approximately 1300 ms. An initial model was run 383 with data downsampled to twenty milliseconds (50 Hz). However, inspection 384 of the residuals of the first statistical model indicated that a moderate level 385 of correlation remained between subsequent measurements. Therefore, to 386 reduce autocorrelation further, forty millisecond (25 Hz) time bins were used. 387 *VOT* (Experiment 1) and *pitch* (Experiment 2) were modelled as con-388 tinuous variables, centred around 0. The centred values ranged from -4.5 to 389 4.5, with the distribution peaks at -2.5 and 2.5. Distribution condition was 390 modelled as a factor with two levels, low variance and high variance. As 391 control variables, the location of the target on the screen was included in 392 the target distance models, and location of competitor in the competitor dis-393 tance models. This was a factor variable with four levels: top-left, top-right, 394 bottom-left and bottom right. Changes over the course of the experiment 395 were investigated by including a predictor of *trial*. However, this did not 396 improve model fit, so was removed from the analysis. 397

The initial model included intercepts for condition (low-vs. high-variance) 398 and target position, a nonlinear interaction³ of centred VOT (or pitch) by 399 condition over time and a nonlinear regression line⁴ of target position over 400 time. After running the models, the residuals were examined to determine the 401 degree of remaining autocorrelation. We included an AR1 model to account 402 for autocorrelation in the residuals with the *rho* parameter, which measures 403 how much the residuals of the current data point are determined by the re-404 siduals at the previous data point. In GAMM models, shrunk factor smooths 405 can be used to model random effects. They are the nonlinear equivalent of 406 by-subject and by-item random slopes and intercepts in an LMM. 407

408 4. Results

409 4.1. Target distance model: distance of fixations from the target picture

410 4.1.1. Random effects

The best-fit model for target distance (Appendix A) includes trends over time as random effects per participant per target item. Random effects were modelled as a separate smooth for each participant-item pair in order to capture participants' variable responses to the different items. Each *random wiggly curve* represents the difference in eye movement behaviour over time for a particular participant for a particular item compared to the average.

417 4.1.2. Effects of voice onset time value on target distance

The best-fit model included a smooth of centred VOT over time (Ap-418 pendix A), which significantly contributed to variance explained in the model 419 (F(65.706, 476634.3) = 98.5). Estimated effects of VOT over time are shown 420 in the top row of Figure 3. In the figure, time is represented on the horizontal 421 axis. Centred VOT is on the vertical axis. Category means are at VOT -2.5 422 (for the unaspirated stimuli, e.g. bou2) and 2.5 (for the aspirated stimuli, 423 e.g. pou2). The distance of fixations from the centre of the target picture 424 is plotted on the z-axis, represented by colour codes. Higher values (shown 425 in yellow) indicate a relatively greater distance from the target; lower values 426

³In the mgcv package, this type of nonlinear interaction is modelled with the te() function. It includes all main effects and interactions.

⁴This nonlinear regression line is modelled with the ti() function. In the mgcv package, the ti() function can be used to model partial effects, including nonlinear regression lines and nonlinear interactions without the main effects or lower-level interactions.

(shown in blue) indicate a relatively shorter distance. The key at the bottom 427 left of each panel shows the corresponding pixel values and z-limits for each 428 model plot. Note that the range is different between the target distance plot 429 (top row) and the competitor distance plots (bottom row): the target plot 430 ranges between 80 and 320 pixels, while competitor plots range between 200 431 and 440 pixels. The scale is the same. Random effects are excluded from 432 these plots. A plot of the raw data for target distance in Experiment 1 is 433 provided in Appendix E (upper panel). To assist with interpretation, par-434 ticularly for readers who are unfamiliar with topographic plots, Appendix G 435 provides an illustration of the mapping between the topographic plot and a 436 line plot of the raw data. 437

The plot indicates that changes in eye movements over the course of the 438 trial occur differently at different points on the VOT continuum. Over the 439 course of the trial period, the pattern of eye movements increasingly reflects 440 the differences in VOT values, with differential fixation behaviour at central 441 and outer regions of the continuum. Prior to and for the first 200 ms after 442 presentation of the auditory stimulus, the plot shows a flat distribution. 443 Fixations are consistently around 280 pixels from the target; that is, the 444 distance between the centre of the target and the fixation cross. At around 445 200 ms, the eyes begin to move away from the fixation cross. From around 446 400 ms, the distance steadily decreases until the end of the trial. Differences 447 between VOT values begin to emerge around 400-500 ms. The decrease in 448 distance from the target occurs more rapidly at the distribution peaks and 449 peripheries, compared to the central values. The difference in distance from 450 the target remains throughout the trial, with a consistently greater distance 451 for the central VOT values, compared to the outer values from around 450 452 ms until the end of the trial. 453

454 4.1.3. Effects of distribution condition on target distance

The VOT-by-condition interaction was not significant. Initial models, which did not include a rho parameter, hinted that there might be an effect of distribution condition. However, once autocorrelation was reduced by including rho, the χ^2 test of fREML scores showed that including an interaction with distribution condition no longer significantly improved fit. In the upper panel of Figure 3 condition is collapsed.



Distance from target



Figure 3: Topographical maps for the VOT models in Experiment 1. Top row: model fit for the best fit model of Euclidean distance from the target picture. The predictor Target Position is 'top left' in this plot (see the left panel of Figure 4 for the effects of Target Position). Bottom row: model fit for the best fit model of Euclidean distance from the competitor picture for the low-variance (left panel) and high-variance conditions (right panel). The predictor Competitor Position is 'top left' in these plots (see the right panel of Figure 4 for the effects of Competitor Position). All plots: Estimated effects are in pixels. Time (in milliseconds) is represented on the x-axis. Voice onset time (VOT) is on the y-axis. VOT is centred around 0, the category boundary. The negative VOT values correspond to unaspirated stimuli (e.g. bou), the positive values to aspirated stimuli (e.g. pou). Category means are at VOT -2.5 and 2.5, respectively. Distance is plotted on the zaxis, represented by colour codes. Higher values (yellow areas) indicate a relatively greater distance; lower values (blue areas) indicate a relatively smaller distance. The key in the bottom left corner shows corresponding pixel values and the z-limits. Note that the range differs between the surface plots for target and competitor model plots; target plots (top row): 80 to 320 pixels; competitor plots (bottom row) 200 to 440 pixels. (The scale is the same). Random effects are excluded from these plots.

461 4.1.4. Effect of target position on target distance

Target picture position was included in the model as a control variable. 462 If participants had search strategies, such as left-to-right or top-to-bottom 463 scanning, then the eyes would be likely to fall on the target more quickly 464 when the target occurred in certain positions on the screen. Including these 465 effects would strengthen the ability of the model to capture our predictors 466 of interest by accounting for this variation. The model summary shows that 467 target position had a significant effect on the distance of fixations from the 468 target over time (top-left: F(3.979, 476634.3) = 321.5; top-right: F(3.941, 6.3941)460 476634.3) = 254.7; bottom-left: F(1.002, 476634.3) = 895.8; bottom-right: 470 F(3.990, 476634.3) = 360.9. The left panel of Figure 4 shows the effect of 471 target position over time. Time is on the x-axis, target distance on the y-472 axis. Each position on the screen is represented by a coloured line according 473 to the key in the top right corner of the plot. The plot shows substantially 474 different distances, depending on the target position. Fixations are closest 475 to the target when the target is in the top left corner, and furthest when it 476 is in the bottom right corner. The effect emerges immediately in the first 477 fixation, around 150-200 ms, and continues until late in the trial, around 800 478 ms. The eyes locate the target more quickly when it is in the top left of the 479 screen; otherwise the eyes may initially move further away from the target 480 compared to the initial position on the fixation cross. Note that this is true 481 on average, but does not entail that this occurs on every trial. Indeed, given 482 the size of the effect, it is unlikely that it occurs on every trial. 483

484 4.2. Competitor distance model: distance of fixations from the competitor 485 picture

Apart from investigating the effects of uncertainty on how accurately 486 participants fixated the *target*, we were also interested in how perceptual 487 uncertainty affects the degree to which participants were drawn towards the 488 *competitor* picture. We therefore ran models looking at the distance of fix-489 ations from the competitor picture. This measure corresponds to Clayards 490 et al. (2008), in which the by-trial proportion of fixations on the competitor 491 object was reported. The models included the same predictors as the target 492 distance models, only the dependent variable was the distance of fixations 493 from the competitor picture, and competitor position on the screen replaced 494 target position. A visualisation of the raw data for competitor distance in 495 Experiment 1 is shown in Appendix E (lower panel). 496



Figure 4: Model fit for the effect of target position in the best fit model for the Euclidean distance from the target (left panel) and the effect of competitor position on the Euclidean distance from the competitor (right panel) in Experiment 1. Time (ms) is on the x-axis. Distance from the target (left panel) or competitor (right panel) is on the y-axis. Each position on the screen is represented by a line, colour-coded according to the legend in the top right corner. The predictor Condition is set to low-variance; VOT is set to -0.5. As the models did not include an interaction between target/competitor position and VOT or target/competitor position and condition, the estimated effects of position are the same for low and high variance and for the different VOT values. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals (indicating the uncertainty around the model estimates).

4.2.1. Effects of voice onset time value on competitor distance

The model summary for competitor distance (Appendix B) shows the interaction of VOT by condition over time. The baseline (low-variance) condition is shown in the lower left panel of Figure 3. For all VOT values, the distance from the competitor first shows a dip (blue area), then steadily increases over time. Comparison of the estimated distance from the target and competitor pictures in this time period suggests that the eyes initially move toward the competitor, before rejecting it and moving towards the target.

The effect of VOT starts to emerge in the first fixations of the trial, 505 around 150 ms to 300 ms after stimulus presentation. The distance from 506 the competitor decreases for the outer and mean VOT values earlier than 507 for the central VOT values, as the eyes move towards the competitor object. 508 After this initial period, the distance from the competitor is smallest at the 509 central values. This pattern suggests that when the VOT is near the cat-510 egory boundary, it takes participants longer to move their eyes away from 511 the fixation cross for the first fixation of the trial. At all VOT values, the 512 initial fixations tend to move towards the competitor object, before reject-513 ing it and moving towards the target. At the central values, this is process 514 seems to be delayed, with eye movements both towards and away from the 515 competitor occurring later at the central values than at the mean and outer 516 values. That is, the short distance from the competitor (blue area) starts 517 later and continues until later in the trial at the central VOT values. The 518 difference in competitor distance between central and outer VOT values re-519 mains throughout the trial. At the outer VOT values, the distance from 520 the competitor steadily increases, starting from around 550 ms (green then 521 yellow areas). Near the category boundary, although the distance increases, 522 it does not reach the same level as the outer VOT values. This suggests that 523 a greater degree of uncertainty remains for the central VOTs right until the 524 end of the trial. 525

526 4.2.2. Effects of distribution condition on competitor distance

As noted above, there was a significant effect of VOT by condition over time. Including a VOT-by-condition interaction significantly improved model fit, compared to a model without condition ($\chi^2(5.0)=8.663$, p < .004). This effect is shown in the models plots (lower panels of Figure 3), which show the distance of fixations from the centre of the competitor object in the lowvariance (left panel) versus the high-variance condition (right panel). The effect of distribution condition seems to emerge mainly at the central VOTs at

the beginning and end of the trial, where fixations are closer to the competitor 534 in the high-variance condition than in the low-variance condition. In the early 535 fixations, the effect of VOT is flatter in the high-variance compared to the 536 low-variance condition. In the low-variance condition, the eyes take longer 537 to move away from the fixation cross at the central values compared to the 538 more peripheral values. However, this effect is absent in the high-variance 539 condition, in which the eyes move towards the competitor object at around 540 the same time for all VOT values. From around 500 ms onwards, fixations 541 are closer to the competitor object around the central VOT values in the 542 high-variance compared to the low-variance condition. 543

⁵⁴⁴ 4.2.3. Effects of the position of the competitor on the screen on competitor ⁵⁴⁵ distance

The model summary shows that competitor position had a significant 546 effect on the distance of fixations from the competitor over time (top-left: 547 F(3.969, 476712.6) = 118.975; top-right: F(3.736, 476712.6) = 87.236; bottom-548 left F(3.799, 476712.6) = 84.505; bottom-right F(3.939, 476712.6) = 120.162). 549 The results are shown in the right panel of Figure 4. The general pattern 550 is the inverse of the effects of target position in the target distance models. 551 The fixations are closest to the competitor picture when it is in the top left 552 corner, and furthest when it is in the bottom right corner. 553

554 4.3. Discussion

Experiment 1 investigated the effects of perceptual uncertainty on eye 555 movements towards target and competitor pictures during perception of 556 Cantonese words beginning with aspirated and unaspirated consonants. Two 557 causes of uncertainty were investigated. On the one hand, this experiment 558 investigated the time course of effects of changes in the acoustic cue value, 559 VOT, during speech perception. This manipulation was the same for all par-560 ticipants. Greater perceptual uncertainty was predicted as cues approached 561 the category boundary. On the other hand, the experiment investigated the 562 effects of within-category acoustic variance. That is, the presentation fre-563 quency of the different acoustic cue values. Based on the results of Clavards 564 et al. (2008), we predicted that fixations would fall closer to the target and 565 further from the competitor for participants in the low-variance condition, 566 compared to the high-variance condition. 567

568 4.3.1. Effects of time

Overall, the GAMM models for Experiment 1 showed that fixations be-569 came closer to the target and further from the competitor over time. How-570 ever, this was a nonlinear trend. In the target distance model, there was an 571 initial period of relative stability, followed by a steady convergence on the 572 target. In the competitor distance model, there was a *decrease* in distance 573 from the competitor in the early period around 200-400 ms, as fixations ini-574 tially approached the competitor for a period before moving away from it. 575 After this period, fixations began to steadily approach the target. 576

577 4.3.2. Effects of voice onset time value

Both the target distance and the competitor distance models showed a 578 nonlinear effect of VOT value on participants' perceptual uncertainty. In the 579 target distance model, at the outer VOT values, fixations began to rapidly 580 approach the target picture by around 500 ms; by around 700-800 ms, fixa-581 tions were within the target picture interest area, on average. However, at 582 the more central VOT values, a substantial amount of uncertainty remained 583 throughout the trial. The distance from the target remained substantially 584 greater near the category boundary than at the outer VOTs right until the 585 end of the trial. Conversely, in the competitor distance models, the distance 586 from the competitor was generally smaller at the central VOT values, com-587 pared to the outer values. This effect of VOT on distance to the competitor 588 emerged very early, in the first fixations of the trial. Near the category bound-580 ary, it took longer for the eyes to move away from the fixation cross. After 590 this delay, fixations were closer to the competitor at the category boundary 591 for the rest of the trial. 592

Interestingly, the effect of VOT value seemed to emerge mainly between 593 the central values and the distribution peaks. The exaggerated acoustic in-594 formation in the outer cue values did not seem to greatly benefit participants 595 in terms of the time it took to fixate the target. Another interesting observa-596 tion is that these effects are quite symmetrical. This is surprising given that 597 within-category acoustic variance is *asymmetrical* in language. In Cantonese 598 bilabial stop production (as in English), the variance in unaspirated stops is 590 much lower than in aspirated stops. The standard deviation of unaspirated 600 stops in syllable production is less than 6 ms, compared to more than 21 601 ms in aspirated stops (Ng and Wong, 2009). Given that there is more than 602 three times as much variation in aspirated stimuli in speech, we might ex-603 pect that listeners are more tolerant of variation in aspirated stimuli in the 604

experiment setting. For example, we might expect to see steeper slopes on the unaspirated side in the plots. But this was not the case.

607 4.3.3. Effects of acoustic cue variance

The target distance models did not show any significant effects of distri-608 bution condition. The competitor distance models, on the other hand, did 609 show a significant interaction with VOT over time. The model plots indicate 610 that the biggest differences between conditions occur at the central VOTs, 611 near the category boundary. In the low-variance condition, the eyes seem 612 to take longer to move away from the fixation cross at the central values at 613 the beginning of the trial. Later in the trial, after about 600-700 ms, fixa-614 tions are closer to the competitor in the high-variance condition, compared 615 to the low-variance condition. This result is line with our hypothesis that 616 the greater degree of within-category acoustic variance would lead to greater 617 uncertainty in the high-variance condition. The result is also consistent with 618 the findings of Clayards et al. (2008), which showed that the overall pro-619 portion of fixations on the competitor versus the target was greater in their 620 high-variance condition. One of the aims of this study was to extend the 621 investigation to examine the time course of effects. The competitor distance 622 model shows that the effect of distribution emerges early, affecting the very 623 first fixations, and continues over the course of the trial. 624

This early effect could be attributed to changes in early perceptual pro-625 cessing of the acoustic information as a result of the distributional input. 626 However, given that there was no effect of trial in this experiment, it is un-627 likely that the effect stems from 'perceptual learning' such that there were 628 shifts in the category boundary. Another possibility is that participants ad-620 opt a global strategy in response to the level of uncertainty. As uncertainty 630 increases, participants look around more in search of additional evidence to 631 support their selection. Participants tend to fixate the competitor before 632 moving to the target. They do this more and later in the trial in the high-633 variance condition. This suggests that these fixations are part of a kind of 634 verification process. As competition between target and competitor increases, 635 it takes longer to reject the competitor in favour of the target. 636

637 4.3.4. Effects of target and competitor position

An interesting observation that comes out of this study is the effect of the location of the target and competitor on the screen. Fixations were substantially closer to the target when the target was in the top left corner

of the screen, and further when it was located in the bottom right; conversely, 641 fixations were further from the competitor when the competitor picture was 642 located in the top left corner of the screen, and closer when it was located in 643 the bottom right. These effects are probably the result of scanning strategies 644 during the preview period and the early part of the trial. If participants had 645 a particular scan path that favoured the top-left over the bottom-right, this 646 would enable them to locate the target and reject the competitor better when 647 it was in the top-left position and least when it was in the bottom right. 648

Though we know of no other study that has reported this effect in the 640 visual world paradigm, a bias for initial fixations to move to the left is 650 known in scene perception research (Dickinson and Intraub, 2009; Ossandon, 651 Onat, and Koenig, 2014). This left-to-right, top-to-bottom pattern closely 652 matches the direction of eye movements during reading. However, the ex-653 tent to which reading direction contributes to the effect is unclear. Cross-654 linguistic studies of scene and face perception have reported mixed results 655 (Chokron and De Agostini, 2000; Gilbert and Bakan, 1973; Heath, Rouhana, 656 and Abi Ghanem, 2005; Nicholls and Roberts, 2002; Vaid and Singh, 1989) 657 suggesting that there may be a language-independent effect that is modulated 658 by the direction of reading. 659

Regarding the time course of effects, both the target and competitor 660 position effects were present for most of the trial, beginning with the first 661 fixation. However, the time course is slightly different for target position and 662 competitor position. For target position, when the target is in the top left, 663 the distance steadily decreases from the first fixation onwards. When the 664 target is in the bottom right, in contrast, the first fixations tend to move 665 sharply away from the target in the first fixations, perhaps landing on the 666 competitor, or a distractor picture. The distance continues to increase until 667 around 400 ms. At this time, the participant presumably realises that they 668 have made an error and prepares to launch another saccade. But this error 669 sets the participant back substantially, and although the distance decreases 670 steadily from this point, the lines only come together again around 800 ms. 671 towards the end of the trial. 672

For competitor position, the overall effect is roughly the inverse of the effect of target position: fixations are furthest from the competitor when it is the top left, and come closest when it is in the bottom right. However, there are also differences in the time course, compared to the effect of target position. While the lines of the four positions in the target position plot are roughly parallel for a large part of the trial, in the competitor position plot,

the effect is closer to a mirror image. The first fixations move towards the 679 competitor when it is in the bottom right and away from it when it is in the 680 top left and this pattern continues well into the trial. The probable reason 681 for this difference in the time course between target and competitor is that 682 when fixations land on the target picture, they are much more likely to stay 683 there for the rest of the trial. On the other hand, if early fixations land on 684 the competitor picture, they are likely to move away again after a time. The 685 plot shows that the eyes start moving away from the competitor at around 686 400 to 550 ms, depending on its location. 687

⁶⁸⁸ 5. Experiment 2 Tones

689 5.1. Method

Participants. Thirty-nine native Cantonese-speaking undergraduate students from the Chinese University of Hong Kong participated in the experiment. An additional six participants were recruited, but were excluded from analysis due to the eyetracker unexpectedly quitting before the end of the experiment (four participants) and inability to calibrate (two participants).

Experiment design and stimuli. The experiment design was the same as Ex-695 periment 1, except that different stimulus items were used. Visual stimuli 696 were picture pairs whose names were word pairs that were either high level 697 tone (e.g. jin1 'carpet'; gun1 'crown') or mid level tone (jin3 'arrow'; gun3 698 'can'). The two members of each word pair had the same segmental syl-699 lable. Initial consonants were either velar stops ('g') or alveolar affricates 700 ('j'). Auditory stimuli were produced by the same speaker as Experiment 701 1. The stimuli were then resynthesised in PRAAT (Boersma and Weenink, 702 2012), using the mid tone as the target, to create a 12-step f0 continuum 703 with equal semitone steps ranging from 86 Hz to 129 Hz. Syllable duration 704 ranged from 357 ms to 491 ms, of which the mean initial consonant duration 705 was 41 ms for the stops and 61 ms for the affricates. 706

⁷⁰⁷ *Procedure.* The procedure was identical to Experiment 1.

708 6. Analysis

Analysis was conducted using the same variables as Experiment 1, except that the acoustic cue was a continuum of pitch (f0) values, instead of VOT values.

712 7. Results

713 7.1. Target distance model: distance of fixations from the target picture

714 7.1.1. Random effects

As in Experiment 1, the models for Experiment 2 included by-participant by-item random wiggly curves over time (Appendix C). Random effects were modelled as separate smooths for each participant-item pair.

718 7.1.2. Effects of pitch value on target distance

Model comparisons showed that model fit was improved by including a 719 nonlinear interaction of pitch by condition over time. The model summary 720 for target distance is shown in Appendix C. A visualisation of the raw data 721 is provided in Appendix F (upper panel). The effect of pitch value over time 722 is illustrated in the model plots for the baseline (low-variance) condition (left 723 panel of Figure 5). The distance of fixations from the target picture is plotted 724 on the z-axis, represented by colour codes. Higher values (shown in yellow) 725 indicate a relatively greater distance from the target; lower values (shown 726 in blue) indicate a relatively shorter distance. Category means are at -2.5 727 (for the mid-tone stimuli, e.g. gon3) and 2.5 (for the high-tone stimuli, e.g. 728 gon1). 729

The plot shows a very similar pattern to the results for the VOT model. Changes in eye movements over the course of the trial occur differently for different pitch values. Until around 200 ms, the plot shows a flat distribution, as participants are looking at the fixation cross. Then the eyes begin to move away from the fixation cross. After about 400 ms, target distance starts to decrease steadily.

As in the VOT model, differences between pitch values begin to emerge around 400-500 ms after presentation of the auditory stimulus. In addition, the target distance remains greater at the central values, compared to the outer values, for the rest of the trial.

However, there are also differences compared to the VOT model. The
plot for the pitch model is not entirely symmetrical. The greatest distances
from the target are actually centred just above 0, at about 0.5, rather than at
0, as expected. This suggests that the category boundary in the stimuli may
have been slightly lower than participants' own category boundary estimates.

745 7.1.3. Effects of distribution condition on target distance

⁷⁴⁶ Unlike the VOT models of target distance, in which there was no effect of ⁷⁴⁷ condition, the interaction of pitch by condition over time significantly con-



Figure 5: Topographical maps for the pitch models in Experiment 2. Top row: model fit for the best fit model of Euclidean distance from the target picture for the low-variance (left panel) and high-variance conditions (right panel). The predictor Target Position is 'top left' in this plot (see the left panel of Figure 6 for the effects of Target Position). Bottom row: model fit for the best fit model of Euclidean distance from the competitor picture for the low-variance (left panel) and high-variance conditions (right panel). The predictor Competitor Position is 'top left' in these plots (see the right panel of Figure 6 for the effects of Competitor Position). All plots: Estimated effects are in pixels. Time (ms) is represented on the x-axis. Pitch is on the y-axis. Pitch is centred around 0, the category boundary. The negative pitch values correspond to mid-tone stimuli (e.g. jin3), the positive values to high-tone stimuli (e.g. jin1). Category means are at centred pitch values -2.5 and 2.5, respectively. Distance is plotted on the z-axis, represented by colour codes. Higher values (yellow areas) indicate a relatively greater distance; lower values (blue areas) indicate a relatively smaller distance. The key in the bottom left corner shows corresponding pixel values and the z-limits. Note that the range differs between the surface plots for target and competitor model plots: 100-310 for the target plots; 200-410 for the competitor plots. (The scale is the same). Random effects are excluded from these plots.

tributed to model fit in the pitch models for target distance $(\chi^2(5.0)=41.812,$ 748 p < .001). In the upper panel of Figure 5, differences in the distance from 749 the target appear between the low-variance condition (upper left panel) and 750 the high-variance condition (upper right panel). The differences are most 751 apparent at the central pitch values, beginning at around 700 ms. There is 752 greater distance from the target in the low-variance compared to the high-753 variance condition. This result was counter to our expectations. Based on 754 the results of Clayards et al. (2008), we hypothesised greater distance in 755 the high-variance condition. A possible reason for this effect may be that 756 the stimulus category boundaries differed from participants' initial category 757 boundary estimates, as noted above. In the high-variance condition, because 758 participants had more experience with these central values, this may have 759 given them the opportunity to adjust their category boundaries and bring 760 them in line with the distribution. Unlike in the VOT models, there are 761 also differences at the category means. Fixations are further from the target 762 for the high tone (positive pitch values) and closer to the target for the mid 763 tone (negative pitch values) in the low-variance condition, compared to the 764 high-variance condition. 765

766 7.1.4. Effects of target position on target distance

The effects of target location in the pitch model are very similar to those 767 seen in the VOT models. The model summary shows a significant effect of 768 target position on target distance over time (top-left: F(3.974, 507685.1)) 769 = 261.29; top-right: F(2.847, 507685.1) = 260.67; bottom-left: F(1.156, 770 507685.1) = 676.26; bottom-right: F(3.979, 507685.1) = 273.96). The effects 771 are shown in the left panel of Figure 6. Fixations are closest to the target 772 when the target occurs in the top left corner of the screen, and furthest when 773 the target is located in the bottom right of the screen. 774

775 7.2. Competitor distance model: distance of fixations from the competitor 776 picture

As with the VOT models, we were interested not only in the target fixations, but also in how much fixations were drawn to the competitor during tone perception. The model summary for competitor distance is shown in Appendix D. A visualisation of the raw data for competitor distance in Experiment 2 is shown in Appendix F (lower panel).



Figure 6: Model fit for the the effect of target position in the best fit model for Euclidean distance from the target (left panel) and the effect of competitor position on the distance from the competitor (right panel) in Experiment 2. Time is on the x-axis. Distance from the target (left panel) or competitor (right panel) is on the y-axis. Each position on the screen is represented by a line, colour-coded according to the legend in the top right corner. The predictor Condition is set to low-variance; pitch is set to -0.5. As the models did not include an interaction between target/competitor position and pitch or target/competitor position and condition, the estimated effects of position are the same for low and high variance and for the different pitch values. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals (indicating the uncertainty around the model estimates).

782 7.2.1. Effect of pitch value on competitor distance

The model for competitor distance included a nonlinear interaction of 783 pitch by condition over time. The effects of pitch over time are shown in the 784 baseline (low-variance) condition (lower left panel of Figure 5). In the early 785 fixations, seems to be asymmetrical. As expected, fixations are closer to the 786 competitor at the central values. But they are also closer to the competitor 787 at the very high pitch values. This effect of the peripheral pitch values is 788 smaller in the mid tones, so that there is an overall bias towards the mid 789 tone. This effect appears around 200-400 ms. From around 600 ms, there 790 is a steady increase in the competitor distance at the outer pitch values; 791 however, the competitor distance remains shorter the closer the pitch is to 792 pitch values just above the category boundary, at centred pitch values 0.5-1. 793 We see the same asymmetry that appeared in the target distance models. 794

795 7.2.2. Effects of distribution condition on competitor distance

In the model for competitor distance, the interaction between condition and pitch over time significantly contributed to model fit, compared to a model without condition ($\chi^2(5.0)=69.970$, p < .001). The effect of distri-

bution condition is shown in the model plots (lower panels of Figure 5). 790 As noted above, in the low-variance condition, the effect of pitch cue value 800 emerges from around 200-400 ms. Fixations move towards the competitor 801 early in the first fixations near the category boundary. These fixations oc-802 cur earlier in the low-variance condition (left panel), compared to the high-803 variance condition (right panel). Additionally, at the central values, the 804 competitor distance is smaller in the low-variance condition, compared to 805 the high-variance condition in this period. The competitor distance remains 806 shorter in the low-variance condition right up until near the end of the trial. 807

⁸⁰⁸ 7.2.3. Effect of competitor location on competitor distance

The model summary for competitor distance shows a significant effect 809 of competitor position over time (top-left: F(3.967, 507729.8) = 127.84; 810 top-right: F(3.700, 507729.8) = 105.73; bottom-left: F(3.799, 507729.8) =811 130.65; bottom-right: F(3.808, 507729.8) = 111.86). This result follows a 812 very similar pattern to the VOT models of competitor distance, and roughly 813 the inverse of the effect of target position on target distance. As shown in 814 the right panel of Figure 6, the competitor distance is greatest when the 815 competitor is in the top left corner, and smallest when it is in the bottom 816 right corner. 817

818 7.3. Discussion

Like Experiment 1, Experiment 2 investigated the effects of perceptual 819 uncertainty on eye movements towards target and competitor pictures dur-820 ing Cantonese speech perception. While Experiment 1 investigated a tem-821 poral cue, voice onset time, in a segmental contrast, aspiration, Experiment 822 2 investigated a suprasegmental cue, pitch (f0), in a lexical tone contrast. 823 The same two types of uncertainty effects were investigated: differences in 824 the acoustic cue value, in this case pitch, and differences in the amount 825 of acoustic cue variance (low-variance versus high-variance). As in Experi-826 ment 1, greater perceptual uncertainty was expected as cues approached the 827 category boundary, compared to more peripheral pitch values, and in the 828 high-variance compared to the low-variance condition. Perceptual certainty 829 was investigated in two separate models. The first examined the distance 830 from the centre of the target picture; and the second, the distance from the 831 centre of the competitor picture. 832

833 7.3.1. Effects of time

The overall trend of fixations over time in the GAMM models for Experiment 2 was remarkably similar to Experiment 1. Generally, fixations became closer to the target and further from the competitor over time, but this followed an initial small *decrease* in distance from the competitor in the early period. The eyes initially moved towards the competitor in the first fixations of the trial, before steadily moving away from it.

840 7.3.2. Effects of pitch value

The effect of time was modulated by pitch value. At the outer pitch 841 values, fixations began to rapidly converge on the target picture by around 842 500-600 ms, and by around 700-800 ms, fixations were within the target 843 picture interest area, on average. However, as the pitch values approached 844 values just above the category boundary, the distance from the target gradu-845 ally increased. At the values 0.5-1, fixations were substantially further from 846 the target compared to the outer values. This pattern of increased target dis-847 tance suggests that participants' category boundaries were centred around 848 the values 0.5-1, rather than 0. 849

While the bulk of the pitch value effect occurs as values approach these 850 values just above the category boundary, there is also an interesting effect 851 towards the periphery of the mid tone, which appears in the lower half of 852 the plot, in the later part of the trial. There is a peak where fixations are 853 closest to the target that emerges between 800-1200 ms and which occurs 854 at the distribution peak for the mid tone (pitch -2.5). Fixations are closest 855 to the target at the distribution peak, and become few towards the edge of 856 the distribution. This differs from the positive pitch values, as well as the 857 VOT models. The fact that this effect appears in the tone models, but not in 858 the VOT models may reflect language-specific properties of the phonological 859 system. The consonant system in Cantonese has only two levels of aspiration: 860 aspirated and unaspirated. However, in the tonal system there are three level 861 tones. This experiment investigated only the high and mid level tones, but 862 there is also a low level tone. Although it does not occur in this experiment, 863 this low tone seems to be having an affect. As the outer regions of the 864 mid tone begin to slip into low tone territory, the distance from the target 865 increases slightly, suggesting that activation of this low tone may be creating 866 an additional cause of uncertainty. 867

The presence of the low tone at the lower boundary of the mid tone seems to have had an additional effect. Towards the end of the trial, an asymmetry emerges in the target distance. The pattern of fixations suggests that the participants' category boundaries are approximately half a continuum step higher than the experimental boundary. This may be due to the pressure of the low tone. This is supported by evidence from production data showing that there is less variation in the pitch height of the mid tone (Siddins and Harrington, 2015), presumably due to pressure from the surrounding tones. The effect does not occur in the high tone, which has no tone above it.

877 7.3.3. Effects of acoustic cue variance

In Experiment 2, there was a significant interaction between distribution 878 condition and pitch over time in both the target distance and the competitor 879 distance models. In the target distance model, the effect of distribution 880 condition was greatest near the category boundary, and emerged around 700 881 ms. There was also a similar effect at the category boundary in the early 882 fixations, around 200-400 ms. Contrary to expectations, at the central values, 883 the distance from the target was greater in the low-variance condition than 884 the high-variance condition. A similar effect was found in the competitor 885 distance models, where distance was shorter in the low-variance condition. 886 Based on the results of Clayards et al. (2008), we predicted greater competitor 887 distance in the low-variance condition. 888

This result is probably due to a mismatch between the experimental dis-889 tribution and participants' initial category boundaries, as noted above. The 890 VOT models suggest that low-variance input leads to clearer, more certain 891 perception. However, in the pitch experiment, the experimental category 892 boundaries appear to be slightly lower than participants' initial estimated 893 boundaries. This leads to quite different effects of the distribution. When 894 participants encounter an input distribution that does not match their ex-895 pectations, this leads to greater uncertainty in the low-variance condition. 896

Effects of cue variance also emerged at the category means. In both groups, there seemed to be a bias toward the mid tone (negative centred pitch values): fixations were more likely to be closer to the target and further from the competitor for the positive pitch stimuli than the negative pitch stimuli. This effect was stronger in the low-variance condition. The pattern lends further support to the idea that the low-variance condition leads to less flexible representations.

904 8. General Discussion

The present study investigated the temporal dynamics of perceptual un-905 certainty during Cantonese speech perception. Participants saw pictures of 906 word pairs consisting of aspirated and unaspirated counterparts (Experiment 907 1) or mid and high tone counterparts (Experiment 2) and heard an auditory 908 stimulus sampled from acoustic cue continua corresponding to the word pairs. 909 Two experimental manipulations were expected to affect participants' level 910 of perceptual uncertainty. The first manipulation was the acoustic cue value; 911 i.e. the location of the cue along the acoustic continuum between speech 912 sounds. The second manipulation was the degree of within-category acoustic 913 variance. Participants heard either a relatively large amount of variation (the 914 high-variance distribution condition) or relatively little variation in acoustic 915 stimuli (the *low-variance* distribution condition). Eye movements to the pic-916 tures were monitored until participants selected a picture by clicking on it. 917 For each experiment, two sets of models were run. The first examined the 918 distance of fixations from the target picture, and the second examined the 919 distance from the competitor picture. 920

We expected to see gradient effects in the distance of fixations from the 921 target and competitor pictures, depending on the location of the cue along 922 the continuum, with fixations landing further from the target as the cue 923 approached the category boundary (McMurray et al., 2009). We also ex-924 pected that fixations would be further from the target in the high-variance, 925 compared to the low-variance condition (Clayards et al., 2008). One of the 926 most interesting aspects of the study was the investigation of the time course 927 of effects. Given that the time course of statistical distribution effects has 928 not previously been investigated, the temporal aspects of the present study 920 were largely exploratory. The time course of other effects during speech per-930 ception have been investigated using a similar experimental methods. For 931 example, Mitterer and Reinisch (2013) investigated the time course of effects 932 in lexically-guided adaptation. They found effects in the first fixations of the 933 trial. Eye movements were affected by the fricative type on a particular trial 934 (s-final versus f-final) as well as training condition (f-biased versus s-biased). 935 Both effects emerged in roughly the same time window. They interpreted 936 this effect as evidence that lexically-guided adaptation affects the very early 937 perceptual processes rather than higher-order decision processes. 938

939 8.1. Effect of time

Analysis of eve movement data using Generalised Additive Mixed Mod-940 elling (GAMM) revealed that the distance of fixations both from the target 941 picture and from the competitor picture in Experiment 1 followed a nonlinear 942 trajectory over time. Overall, the eves tended to move towards the target 943 and away from the competitor over time. However, this pattern was not 944 constant over the whole trial. Up until around 200 ms after presentation of 945 the auditory stimulus, the model plots show that target distance remained 946 steadily around 280 pixels, as the eyes focused on the fixation cross. At 947 around 200 ms, the eves began to move away from the fixation cross. In the 948 early part of the trial, between 200 ms and 400 ms, there was an initial small 949 *decrease* in distance from the competitor, indicating that fixations initially 950 moved towards the competitor in this period, before steadily moving away 951 from it. This suggests that if participants fixate the competitor picture, the 952 most likely point in time that they will do so is in the first fixations of the 953 trial. Finally, from around 400 ms onwards, the distance of fixations from the 954 target steadily decreased and distance from the competitor increased until 955 the end of the trial. The time course of effects in Experiment 2 was essentially 956 the same as Experiment 1. Fixations initially remained on the fixation cross, 957 then shifted briefly towards the competitor before moving steadily towards 958 the target picture for the remainder of the trial. 959

960 8.2. Effects of acoustic cue value

Models for both target distance and competitor distance showed that 961 the acoustic cue value had a nonlinear effect on participants' perceptual cer-962 tainty. The distance of fixations from the target and competitor over the 963 course of the trial varied as a function of VOT value (Experiment 1) or pitch 964 (Experiment 2). As predicted, in the VOT experiment, the target distance 965 increased as VOT values approached the category boundary. This is consist-966 ent with the results of earlier studies that have found gradient effects of VOT 967 value in discrimination of stop contrasts (e.g. McMurray et al., 2008a, 2002). 968 Conversely, in the competitor distance models, the distance from the com-969 petitor was smaller at the central VOT values, compared to the outer values, 970 providing further support for the conclusion that uncertainty increased as cue 971 values approached the category boundary. The same nonlinear effect of cue 972 value was also found in Experiment 2, with target distance increasing and 973 competitor distance decreasing as the pitch value approached what seemed 974 to be participants' initial category boundary, just above the boundary set 975

in the experiment. This shows that the same kind of gradient sensitivity 976 that has been shown in VOT perception also applies to perception of pitch 977 height during tone perception. Although gradient sensitivity to pitch height 978 in Cantonese has been investigated in offline identification and discrimination 979 tasks (e.g. Francis, Ciocca, and Ng, 2003), as far as we are aware this is the 980 first investigation of native Cantonese tone perception using eye movement 981 data, which provides a measure of participants' uncertainty over and above 982 their final category judgment. The results additionally demonstrate that this 983 is a nonlinear effect. 984

As for the time course of the cue value effects on target distance, changes 985 in eye movements over time occurred differently at different points on the 986 VOT/pitch continuum. Differences between VOT values in Experiment 1 987 began to emerge around 400-500 ms after stimulus presentation. This was 988 consistent with a previous study that examined proportions of fixations on 980 the target picture object during English voiced-voiceless stop discrimination 990 (McMurray et al., 2009). At the outer regions of the VOT continuum, after 991 a period of relative stability, fixations began to rapidly approach the target 992 picture from around 500 ms. The eyes generally reached the target picture 993 interest area by about 700-800 ms, on average. However, at the central VOT 994 values, a substantial amount of uncertainty remained throughout the trial. 995 The distance from the target remained considerably greater near the category 996 boundary than at the outer VOTs right until the end of the trial. 997

There were some intriguing differences in the time course between the 998 target distance and competitor distance models. Specifically, the competitor 999 distance effects emerged earlier in the trial, compared to the target distance 1000 effects. In the competitor distance models, the effect of VOT starts to emerge 1001 around 150 ms to 300 ms after stimulus presentation, compared to around 1002 500 ms in the target distance models. The competitor distance decreases for 1003 the outer VOT values earlier than for the central VOT values. This suggests 1004 that when the VOT is near the category boundary, it takes participants 1005 longer to move their eyes away from the fixation cross for the first fixation 1006 of the trial. The early effects in the competitor models are probably due to 1007 participants fixating the competitor mostly in the first fixation or two, after 1008 which time they reject it in favour of the target. It is interesting that even 1009 in these very early 'error' fixations, the acoustic cue value affects the speed 1010 with which the eyes move towards the competitor. 1011

¹⁰¹² The overall pattern of effects in Experiment 2 was very similar to Ex-¹⁰¹³ periment 1. However, the pattern was shifted upwards. While the largest

effect of VOT in Experiment 1 occurs near the category boundary, centred 1014 pitch 0, the largest effect of pitch value in Experiment 2 centres around 0.5-1015 1, rather than 0. This suggests that participants' category boundaries were 1016 higher than those specified in the stimulus distributions. In addition, in Ex-1017 periment 2, the effect of pitch value on target distance emerged earlier than 1018 the VOT effect in Experiment 1, in the first fixations of the trial. There is 1019 also another interesting difference between the VOT and pitch cue effects. 1020 There appears to be little effect of cue value at the edges of the VOT cue 1021 continuum or in the positive pitch values (i.e. the high tone). However, in 1022 the lower half of the plot for pitch (Figure 5), distance from the target starts 1023 to increase again at the edge of the continuum. This is probably due to an 1024 influence of the low level tone. While the present experiment investigated 1025 only the high and mid level tones, Cantonese also has a third level tone, the 1026 low tone. The pitch height of the low and mid tones is closer together than 1027 the pitch of the mid and high tones. It is likely that at the lower edge of our 1028 continuum, participants began to have activation from this low tone, adding 1029 another source of uncertainty to the eye movements. Indeed, acoustic studies 1030 of production data show that the variance in the mid tone is much less than 1031 either the high or low tones (Siddins and Harrington, 2015), probably as a 1032 result of pressure from the surrounding low and high tones. This also seems 1033 to have had a knock-on effect on the perception of the category boundary in 1034 the present experiment. There is an asymmetry in the fixation distance in 1035 the later part of the trial. Participant category boundaries seem to be shifted 1036 up by half a step relative to the stimuli category boundary. Since there is no 1037 tone higher than the high tone, this crowding effect is absent at the top edge 1038 of the continuum. And since there are only two levels of aspiration (aspirated 1039 and unaspirated) in Cantonese consonants, the effect is absent in the VOT 1040 models also. 1041

1042 8.3. Effects of distribution condition

A very interesting pattern of effects emerged for distribution condition. 1043 Based on the results of Clayards et al. (2008), we hypothesised that the fix-1044 ations would fall further from the target and closer to the competitor in the 1045 high-variance, compared to the low-variance condition. In Experiment 1, 1046 the effect of distribution was not significant in the target distance models. 1047 However, the competitor distance models showed a significant nonlinear in-1048 teraction between condition and VOT over time. The finding of an effect of 1049 cue variance replicated the findings of Clayards et al. (2008), but with a con-1050

tinuous measure of competitor distance rather than fixation proportions. In a 1051 visual world eyetracking experiment, Clayards et al. (2008) presented native 1052 English listeners with a 12-step VOT continuum and pictures of English /b/1053 and p/p words, presented in either a high- or a low-variance condition. Their 1054 results showed that categorisation accuracy and the proportion of fixations 1055 on the competitor depended on the degree of variance. The same overall 1056 pattern of results that Clavards et al. (2008) found in English voiced and 1057 voiceless stops was found in the present study in Cantonese words beginning 1058 with aspirated and unaspirated stops and aspirated and unaspirated affric-1059 ates (Experiment 1). This finding lends further support to the idea that 1060 listeners are sensitive to the amount of acoustic variance in the signal and 1061 that increased variance leads to increased perceptual uncertainty. 1062

Clayards et al. (2008) hypothesised that the largest differences in looks to 1063 the competitor object between the low-variance and high-variance conditions 1064 would be at the VOT values closest to the category boundaries. However, 1065 due to a smaller number of participants in their experiment and a different 1066 method of analysis, the relatively small number of trials at the most central 1067 VOT values meant that there was insufficient power to test this prediction for 1068 all VOTs. One of the aims of present experiment was to test this hypothesis 1069 by including these central acoustic values in the analysis. With the increased 1070 power of GAMMs, along with a larger number of participants, we were able 1071 to evaluate the fixations at these VOT values. Clavards and colleagues' 1072 predictions were upheld. The greatest differences emerged at the central 1073 VOT values. 1074

Another aim of the present study was to uncover the time course of per-1075 ceptual uncertainty effects by analysing changes in eye movement behaviour 1076 over the course of the trial. While Clayards et al. (2008) reported between-1077 condition differences in the proportion of fixations collapsed over the trial, 1078 we were interested in when these differences emerged and how they changed 1079 over the course of the trial. Using a continuous measure of distance and us-1080 ing GAMMs for analysis enabled us to also investigate the temporal effects. 1081 Effects of distribution condition emerged very early, in the first fixations of 1082 the trial and increased later in the trial, with maximal effects after around 1083 500 milliseconds. 1084

¹⁰⁸⁵ It is interesting to note the different time course of effects that emerged ¹⁰⁸⁶ in the present study by examining eye movements to both the target and ¹⁰⁸⁷ competitor pictures separately. In previous eye movement studies that have ¹⁰⁸⁸ used a VOT continuum to investigate acoustic cue processing, where analysis

has focused on fixations to the target (e.g. McMurray et al., 2009), VOT 1089 effects emerged around 600 ms. In studies that have included both target 1090 and competitor by analysing the proportion of looks to each category, e.g. 1091 /b/vs. /p/ (e.g. McMurray et al., 2008b), the effects seem to emerge earlier. 1092 In the present study, effects of the VOT value emerged in the target distance 1093 models around 500-600 ms after stimulus presentation. In the competitor 1094 distance models, the cue value effect emerged early, with fixations further 1095 from the target at the category boundary in the first fixations of the trial, 1096 between 150-300 ms. 1097

In Experiment 2, unlike in the VOT models, the interaction between 1098 condition and pitch over time had a significant effect on target distance. As 1099 in the VOT models, differences between conditions were most obvious at the 1100 central pitch values, emerging around 500-600 ms. However, in the pitch 1101 models, the competitor distance was greater in the low-variance condition 1102 than the high-variance condition. This result was counter to our predictions. 1103 Based on the results of Clayards et al. (2008), we had expected to see greater 1104 distance from the target in the high-variance condition. 1105

We believe that this result may be related to the the asymmetry in the 1106 eye movements with respect to the category boundary. It seems that in 1107 the pitch experiments the mid point between the two peaks of the distribu-1108 tion was lower than participants' category boundary estimates. Under these 1109 conditions, the fixations were further from the target in the low-variance con-1110 dition. Around the category mean and periphery of the high tone, starting 1111 from around 200 ms until late in the trial, fixations were further from the 1112 target in the low-variance condition, compared to the high-variance condi-1113 tion. Conversely, around the category mean and periphery of the mid tone 1114 fixations were closer to the target in the low-variance condition, compared to 1115 the high-variance condition. The effect started slightly later in the mid tone, 1116 around 400-500 ms. In the low-variance condition, fixations were closer to 1117 the target when it was a mid tone (negative pitch values) and further from 1118 the target when it was a high tone (positive pitch values). If participants 1119 initial category boundaries were higher than the boundaries set in the exper-1120 iment, they would hear more tokens as mid tone. This effect seems to have 1121 been stronger in the low-variance condition. This pattern suggests that a 1122 low-variance distribution may lead to more robust categories, but that this 1123 in turn leads to a trade-off when tokens deviate from the expected values. 1124 Deviations from these expectations are more surprising, and therefore lead 1125 to a greater level of uncertainty and difficulty discriminating these tokens. 1126

In addition, differences between these two experiments may also be par-1127 tially attributed to acoustic differences between stimuli. In general, tones 1128 seem to be more susceptible to perceptual error and represented less pre-1129 cisely, compared to consonant contrasts, such as the VOT cue (Cutler and 1130 Chen, 1997; Taft and Chen, 1992) and, at least in Mandarin, are more mut-1131 able than either consonants or vowels (Wiener and Turnbull, 2015). In fact, 1132 the overall level of perceptual uncertainty seems to have been higher in the 1133 tone experiments, compared to the VOT experiments, as indicated by the 1134 range of cue values over which target distance was relatively high. In the 1135 VOT experiments, the biggest effects of VOT occur in the central three to 1136 four steps of the continuum, with largely reduced effects in the outer values. 1137 In the pitch experiments, the effects spread over up to five steps of the con-1138 tinuum. This suggests that participants had less precise category boundaries 1139 for tones than for the consonants. This may have given a further disadvant-1140 age to participants in the low-variance condition when it came to processing 1141 tokens towards the edges of their distribution. 1142

One surprising finding of this study was that we did not see learning 1143 effects over the course of the experiment. That is, the effect of trial was 1144 not significant. This is interesting from the point of view of the effects of 1145 acoustic variance conditions. Since the distributional effects are expected 1146 to occur through a learning process, we expected to find changes in the 1147 pattern of eye movements over time, as participants gained experience with 1148 the distributions. This was not the case. The effect of cue variance was 1149 constant throughout the experiment. This points to a more global strategy 1150 that participants adopt in response to uncertainty. Namely, to look around 1151 more under conditions of increased uncertainty. A strategy such as this can 1152 explain the very early effects in the competitor models, as well as the lack of 1153 trial effects. 1154

The present results show that for a given acoustic cue, the degree of 1155 variance has an immediate effect on the degree to which the cue is used for 1156 discrimination. The cues used in the present study were contrastive cues 1157 in the listeners' native language. This raises the question of how variance 1158 affects other acoustic cues present in the speech signal, such as indexical 1150 cues. In principal, the way that listeners learn to use and process these 1160 two types of cues is presumably affected by the same mechanisms. At the 1161 beginning of life, infants presumably know little about which types of cues are 1162

contrastive and which cues are indexical.⁵ But experience of the way in which certain variations in speech covary with speakers, while other variations occur consistently over many speakers provides information from which infants can learn to distinguish between indexical and contrastive cues. Therefore the same mechanism that enables learners to acquire contrastive dimensions may also enable them to lower the weighting cues not relevant to the task at hand.

The relationship between these contrastive and non-contrastive cues may 1169 be vital to the process of acquiring speech categories. Rost and McMurray 1170 (2010) demonstrated a crucial role for indexical cue variation in infant lan-1171 guage acquisition. In a series of experiments in which phonetic cues were 1172 varied or held constant, 14-month-olds were able to acquire the voicing con-1173 trast only when indexical speaker cues were varied. Statistical information in 1174 VOT values themselves within the same speaker was not sufficient for learn-1175 ing, but variance in *non-contrastive indexical dimensions* in the multi-speaker 1176 condition enabled infants to extract the relative invariance in the contrastive 1177 VOT dimension. This is consistent with the assumption in learning models 1178 that learning involves not only acquisition of knowledge, but also learning 1179 to ignore cues that are not effective discriminators (Baayen, Hendrix, and 1180 Ramscar, 2013). 1181

One question is whether the effects of these experiments would generalise 1182 to new phonetic environments. For example, during or following exposure to 1183 high-variance aspiration or pitch in the present study, would participants also 1184 display high-uncertainty behaviour in response to unmanipulated stimuli? 1185 The present design did not allow for testing this kind of generalisation, as 1186 all stimuli were in the same variance condition and there were no separate 1187 training and test phases. That is, the whole experiment was both training 1188 and test. However, Idemaru and Holt (2011, 2014) have shown that when 1189 listeners were presented with a reliable cue (VOT) and a less reliable cue 1190 (f0) in one of two voicing contrasts, *beer-pier* and *deer-tear*, listeners lowered 1191 their use of the less reliable cue for discrimination between the word pair, 1192 but the effect did not generalise to the other place of articulation. 1193

¹¹⁹⁴ While the present results investigated individual cues in isolation, real-¹¹⁹⁵ world speech rarely varies by a single cue. For example, Lisker (1986) identi-

⁵There is evidence that some information about the native language is learned in the womb, such as recognising the mother's voice and recognising some prosodic properties of the native language. However, even if learned before birth, this knowledge comes from experience with the ambient language.

fied as many as 16 different cues that affect native English listeners' identific-1196 ation responses to the voiced-voiceless contrast in stops, such as rabid-rapid. 1197 Jongman and colleagues (Jongman, Wayland, and Wong, 2000; McMurray 1198 and Jongman, 2011) found 20 cues involved in English fricative discrimina-1199 tion. So, the process of raising or lowering the weighting of particular cue 1200 values normally occurs in the context of multiple cues. These cues all compete 1201 for relevance in relation to the particular goals of the listener. Presumably 1202 any detectable cue can potentially contribute to the process of discrimination, 1203 and the size of the contribution depends in part on its variance. However, 1204 covariance with other cues has also been shown to be an important factor and 1205 may even work to counter the effects of variance and improve discrimination. 1206 For example, both voice onset time and vowel length covary with speaking 1207 rate. Toscano and McMurray (2012) found that, rather than normalising for 1208 speaking rate, listeners may instead use vowel length in combination with 1209 VOT as a cue to the voicing distinction in stops. The combination of the 1210 two cues together reduces the uncertainty that would result from variance in 1211 the single cue. 1212

Cue weighting has been investigated in categorisation of non-linguistic 1213 auditory stimuli. Holt and Lotto (2006) presented participants with two 1214 categories distinguished by two acoustic dimensions (centre frequency and 1215 modulation frequency). In a pre-test, each dimension was tested separately 1216 to establish the appropriate step size for the continuum that would achieve 1217 an accuracy rate of 70%. However, when cues were combined, participants 1218 exhibited a bias towards use of the centre frequency cue for discrimination 1219 (Experiment 1). This bias remained even when the between-category acous-1220 tic distance for centre frequency was reduced (Experiment 2). However, when 1221 the within-category acoustic variance of modulation frequency was reduced, 1222 the relative cue weighting for modulation frequency increased (Experiment 1223 3). Idemaru and Holt (2011, 2014) additionally showed that listeners track 1224 covariance of acoustic cues and dynamically adjust weighting of cues in re-1225 sponse to changes in cue covariance. 1226

Toscano and McMurray (2010) provided a demonstration of how listeners can adjust the relative weights of different cues in the signal based on their distributional statistics, using Mixture-of-Gaussians simulations. Importantly, when simulations were based on multidimensional distributions, where each cue lay on a separate dimension, the models failed to account for cue integration effects. Only when cues were integrated in a cue-weighting updating learning model, did the model reflect the interaction of effects from the two cues found in behavioural data. This suggests that the effects do not
emerge purely from the statistics alone and that the learning process itself
plays an important role.

The present results open up several questions for further investigation. 1237 This study involved native Cantonese listeners, who, with a lifetime of ex-1238 perience with the language, presumably had well-established categories for 1239 the contrasts investigated. We found that the informativity of the input can 1240 have immediate effects on processing these established categories. An inter-1241 esting question is whether and how the degree of within-category variance 1242 affects acquisition of new speech categories, either in infant first language 1243 learners or in adult second language learners. 1244

The present work focused on within-category variance. Another factor 1245 that is likely to affect speech category acquisition and processing is the acous-1246 tic interval - the acoustic interval between categories. As discussed in the 1247 introduction, it has been proposed that certain properties of speech that are 1248 particular to speech with infants help them to acquire their native phono-1249 logy. Studies have shown that speech with infants tends to have increased 1250 acoustic intervals, compared to speech with adults, at least for some speech 1251 contrasts. This kind of distribution has been mimicked, at least in L2 ac-1252 quisition (Escudero et al., 2011; Wanrooij et al., 2013). But infant speech 1253 also has increased variance, compared to speech with adults. Further work 1254 is needed to tease apart the effects of these two properties. 1255

Author note The authors are grateful to Meghan Clayards and an anonym-1256 ous reviewer, whose insightful comments on previous versions substantially 1257 improved this article. We also wish to thank students at the Chinese Univer-1258 sity of Hong Kong who participated in the study, and audiences at talks and 1259 conferences where this work has been presented who provided helpful feed-1260 back and suggestions. This work was supported by the European Research 1261 Council under the ERC Starting Grant [206198] to YC and an Alexander von 1262 Humboldt Award to RHB. JSN was responsible for the experiment design, im-1263 plementation, stimuli creation, data collection and manuscript preparation. 1264 JSN and JvR conducted the analysis and interpretation with significant con-1265 tributions from RHB. PM hosted JSN at CUHK and provided lab equipment 1266 and participant lists. 1267

1268 References

- Allopenna, P. D., Magnuson, J. S., Tanenhaus, M. K., 1998. Tracking the time course of spoken word recognition using eye movements: Evidence for continuous mapping models. Journal of memory and language 38 (4), 419–439.
- Andruski, J. E., Blumstein, S. E., Burton, M., 1994. The effect of subphonetic
 differences on lexical access. Cognition 52 (3), 163–187.
- Arnold, D., Wagner, P., Baayen, H., 2013. Using generalized additive models
 and random forests to model german prosodic prominence. Proceedings of
 Interspeech 2013, 272–276.
- ¹²⁷⁸ Baayen, H., Vasishth, S., Bates, D., Kliegl, R., 2015. Out of the cage of ¹²⁷⁹ shadows. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.03120.
- Baayen, R. H., Hendrix, P., Ramscar, M., 2013. Sidestepping the Combinatorial Explosion: An Explanation of n-gram Frequency Effects Based on
 Naive Discriminative Learning, 329–347.
- Baayen, R. H., van Rij, J., de Cat, C., Wood, S. N., to appear. Autocorrelated errors in experimental data in the language sciences: Some solutions
 offered by generalized additive mixed models. In: Speelman, D., Heylen,
 K., Geeraerts, D. (Eds.), Mixed Effects Regression Models in Linguistics.
 Springer, Berlin.
- Bauer, R. S., Benedict, P. K., 1997. Modern cantonese phonology. Vol. 102.
 Walter de Gruyter.
- Boersma, P., Weenink, D., 2012. Praat.
- Cheung, W. H., Wee, L.-H., 2008. Viability of VOT as a parameter for
 speaker identification: Evidence from Hong Kong. Current Issues in the
 Unity and Diversity of Languages: Collection of the papers selected from
 the CIL 18 held at Korean University, in Seoul, 21–26.
- ¹²⁹⁵ Chokron, S., De Agostini, M., 2000. Reading habits influence aesthetic pref-¹²⁹⁶ erence. Cognitive Brain Research 10 (1), 45–49.

- ¹²⁹⁷ Clayards, M., Tanenhaus, M. K., Aslin, R. N., Jacobs, R. A., 2008. Perception of speech reflects optimal use of probabilistic speech cues. Cognition 108 (3), 804–809.
- ¹³⁰⁰ Cutler, A., Chen, H.-C., 1997. Lexical tone in Cantonese spoken-word pro-¹³⁰¹ cessing. Perception & Psychophysics 59 (2), 165–179.
- Dahan, D., Magnuson, J. S., Tanenhaus, M. K., Hogan, E. M., 2001. Subcategorical mismatches and the time course of lexical access: Evidence for
 lexical competition. Language and Cognitive Processes 16 (5-6), 507–534.
- de Cat, C., Klepousniotou, E., Baayen, H., 2014. Electrophysiological correlates of noun-noun compound processing by non-native speakers of English.
 ComAComA 2014, 41–52.
- de Cat, C., Klepousniotou, E., Baayen, H., 2015. Representational deficit or
 processing effect? An electrophysiological study of noun-noun compound
 processing by very advanced L2 speakers of English. Frontiers in Psychology 6, 77.
- Dickinson, C. A., Intraub, H., 2009. Spatial asymmetries in viewing and remembering scenes: Consequences of an attentional bias? Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics 71 (6), 1251–1262.
- Escudero, P., Benders, T., Wanrooij, K., 2011. Enhanced bimodal distributions facilitate the learning of second language vowels. The Journal of the
 Acoustical Society of America 130 (4).
- Escudero, P., Williams, D., 2014. Distributional learning has immediate and
 long-lasting effects. Cognition 133 (2), 408–413.
- Feldman, Milin, P., Cho, Moscoso del Prado Martin, F., O'Connor, forth-coming. Frontiers in Psychology.
- Ferrero, F., Pelamatti, G., Vagges, K., 1982. Continuous and categorical
 perception of a fricative-affricate continuum. Journal of Phonetics.
- Francis, A. L., Ciocca, V., Ng, B. K. C., 2003. On the (non) categorical
 perception of lexical tones. Perception & psychophysics 65 (7), 1029–1044.

- Gahl, S., 2008. Time and thyme are not homophones: The effect of lemma
 frequency on word durations in spontaneous speech. Language 84 (3), 474–
 496.
- Gilbert, C., Bakan, P., 1973. Visual asymmetry in perception of faces. Neuropsychologia 11 (3), 355–362.
- Gulian, M., Escudero, P., Boersma, P., 2007. Supervision hampers distributional learning of vowel contrasts. Proceedings of the international congress
 of phonetic sciences.
- Heath, R., Rouhana, A., Abi Ghanem, D., 2005. Asymmetric bias in perception of facial affect among Roman and Arabic script readers. Laterality:
 Asymmetries of Body, Brain, and Cognition 10 (1), 51–64.
- Holt, L. L., Lotto, A. J., 2006. Cue weighting in auditory categorization:
 Implications for first and second language acquisition. The Journal of the
 Acoustical Society of America 119 (5), 3059–3071.
- Idemaru, K., Holt, L. L., 2011. Word recognition reflects dimension-based
 statistical learning. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance 37 (6), 1939.
- Idemaru, K., Holt, L. L., 2014. Specificity of dimension-based statistical
 learning in word recognition. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance 40 (3), 1009.
- Jongman, A., Wayland, R., Wong, S., 2000. Acoustic characteristics of English fricatives. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 108 (3),
 1252–1263.
- Ladefoged, P., Broadbent, D. E., 1957. Information conveyed by vowels. The
 Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 29 (1), 98–104.
- Liberman, A. M., Harris, K. S., Hoffman, H. S., Griffith, B. C., 1957. The discrimination of speech sounds within and across phoneme boundaries.
 Journal of experimental psychology 54 (5), 358.
- Lisker, L., 1986. "Voicing" in English: a catalogue of acoustic features signaling /b/ versus /p/ in trochees. Language and speech 29 (1), 3–11.

- Lisker, L., Abramson, A. S., 1964. A cross-language study of voicing in initial
 stops: acoustical measurements. Word 20.
- Liu, L., Kager, R., 2011. How do statistical learning and perceptual reorganization alter Dutch infant's perception to lexical tones? In: ICPhS.
 Vol. 17. pp. 1270–1273.
- Marslen-Wilson, W., Warren, P., 1994. Levels of perceptual representation
 and process in lexical access: words, phonemes, and features. Psychological
 review 101 (4), 653.
- Maye, J., Gerken, L., 2000. Learning phonemes without minimal pairs. Pro ceedings of the 24th Annual Boston University Conference on Language
 Development.
- Maye, J., Weiss, D., Aslin, R., 2008. Statistical phonetic learning in infants:
 Facilitation and feature generalization. Developmental Science 11 (1).
- Maye, J., Werker, J. F., Gerken, L., 2002. Infant sensitivity to distributional
 information can affect phonetic discrimination. Cognition 82 (3).
- McBride-Chang, C., Bialystok, E., Chong, K. K., Li, Y., 2004. Levels of
 phonological awareness in three cultures. Journal of Experimental Child
 Psychology 89 (2), 93–111.
- McMurray, B., Aslin, R. N., Tanenhaus, M. K., Spivey, M. J., Subik, D.,
 2008a. Gradient sensitivity to within-category variation in words and syllables. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance 34 (6).
- McMurray, B., Clayards, M. A., Tanenhaus, M. K., Aslin, R. N., 2008b.
 Tracking the time course of phonetic cue integration during spoken word
 recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review 15 (6), 1064–1071.
- McMurray, B., Jongman, A., 2011. What information is necessary for speech categorization? Harnessing variability in the speech signal by integrating cues computed relative to expectations. Psychological review 118 (2), 219–246.
- McMurray, B., Tanenhaus, M. K., Aslin, R. N., 2002. Gradient effects of
 within-category phonetic variation on lexical access. Cognition 86 (2), B33–
 B42.

- McMurray, B., Tanenhaus, M. K., Aslin, R. N., 2009. Within-category VOT affects recovery from 'lexical' garden-paths: Evidence against phonemelevel inhibition. Journal of Memory and Language 60 (1), 65–91.
- Mitterer, H., Reinisch, E., 2013. No delays in application of perceptual learn ing in speech recognition: Evidence from eye tracking. Journal of Memory
 and Language 69 (4), 527–545.
- Mok, P. P.-K., Wong, P. W.-Y., 2010. Production and perception of the rising
 tones in Hong Kong Cantonese. In: the 9th Phonetics Conference of China,
 Tianjin.
- Newman, R. S., Clouse, S. A., Burnham, J. L., 2001. The perceptual consequences of within-talker variability in fricative production. The Journal
 of the Acoustical Society of America 109 (3).
- Ng, M. L., Wong, J., 2009. Voice onset time characteristics of esophageal, tracheoesophageal, and laryngeal speech of cantonese. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research 52 (3), 780–789.
- Nicholls, M. E., Roberts, G. R., 2002. Can free-viewing perceptual asymmetries be explained by scanning, pre-motor or attentional biases? Cortex 38 (2), 113–136.
- Nixon, J. S., 2014. Sound of Mind: electrophysiological and behavioural evidence for the role of context, variation and informativity in human speech
 processing. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Leiden. Netherlands).
- Nixon, J. S., Chen, Y., Schiller, N. O., 2015a. Multi-level processing of phonetic variants in speech production and visual word processing: evidence from mandarin lexical tones. Language, Cognition and Neuroscience 30 (5), 491–505.
- ¹⁴¹³ URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23273798.2014.942326
- Nixon, J. S., van Rij, J., Li, X. Q., Chen, Y., 2015b. Cross-category phonological effects on ERP amplitude demonstrate context-specific processing
 during reading aloud. In: Botonis, A. (Ed.), ExLing 2015: Proceedings of
 the International Conference of Experimental Lingustics. pp. 50–53.
- ¹⁴¹⁸ Ossandon, J. P., Onat, S., Koenig, P., 2014. Spatial biases in viewing beha-¹⁴¹⁹ vior. Journal of Vision 14 (2), 20–20.

- Pham, Hien, Baayen, H. R., 2013. Semantic relations and compound transparency: A regression study in CARIN theory. Psihologija 46 (4), 455–478.
- Rost, G. C., McMurray, B., 2010. Finding the signal by adding noise: The
 role of noncontrastive phonetic variability in early word learning. Infancy
 15 (6), 608–635.
- Schouten, M., van Hessen, A. J., 1992. Modeling phoneme perception. i:
 Categorical perception. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America
 92 (4), 1841–1855.
- Siddins, J., Harrington, J., 2015. Does vowel intrinsic f0 affect lexical tone?
 In: ICPhS. pp. 27–43.
- ¹⁴³⁰ Taft, M., Chen, H.-C., 1992. Judging homophony in Chinese: The influence ¹⁴³¹ of tones. Advances in Psychology 90, 151–172.
- Tomaschek, F., Wieling, M., Arnold, D., Baayen, R. H., 2013. Word frequency, vowel length and vowel quality in speech production: an EMA
 study of the importance of experience. In: Interspeech. pp. 1302–1306.
- Toscano, J. C., McMurray, B., 2010. Cue integration with categories: Weighting acoustic cues in speech using unsupervised learning and distributional
 statistics. Cognitive Science 34 (3), 434–464.
- Toscano, J. C., McMurray, B., 2012. Cue-integration and context effects in
 speech: Evidence against speaking-rate normalization. Attention, Perception and Psychophysics 74 (6), 1284–1301.
- Tremblay, A., Newman, A., 2014. Modelling non-linear relationships in ERP
 data using mixed-effects Regression with R examples. Psychophysiology
 TBA, 1–16.
- Utman, J. A., Blumstein, S. E., Burton, M. W., 2000. Effects of subphonetic and syllable structure variation on word recognition. Perception &
 Psychophysics 62 (6), 1297–1311.
- Vaid, J., Singh, M., 1989. Asymmetries in the perception of facial affect: Is
 there an influence of reading habits? Neuropsychologia 27 (10), 1277–1287.

van Rij, J., Baayen, R. H., Wieling, M., van Rijn, H., 2015. itsadug: Interpreting time series, autocorrelated data using gamms. R package version
0.6 (development version).

van Rij, J., Hollebrandse, B., Hendriks, P., in press. Children's eye gaze reveals their use of discourse context in object pronoun resolution. In: Holler,
A., Goeb, C., Suckow, K. (Eds.), Empirical perspectives on anaphora resolution: Information structural evidence in the race for salience.

van Rij, J., Pya, N., van Rijn, H., Wood, S., Baayen, R., in preparation.
Pupil dilation to study cognitive processing: challenges and solutions for
time course analyses.

Wanrooij, K., Boersma, P., van Zuijen, T. L., 2014. Fast phonetic learning
occurs already in 2-to-3-month old infants: an ERP study. Frontiers in
Psychology 5.

Wanrooij, K., Escudero, P., Raijmakers, M. E., 2013. What do listeners learn
from exposure to a vowel distribution? An analysis of listening strategies
in distributional learning. Journal of Phonetics 41 (5), 307–319.

Wieling, M., Montemagni, S., Nerbonne, J., Baayen, R. H., 2014. Lexical differences between tuscan dialects and standard italian: Accounting for geographic and sociodemographic variation using generalized additive mixed
modeling. Language 90 (3), 669–692.

Wiener, S., Turnbull, R., 2015. Constraints of tones, vowels and consonants on lexical selection in mandarin chinese. Language and Speech,
0023830915578000.

Wood, S., 2006. Generalized additive models: an introduction with R. CRC
press.

¹⁴⁷⁴ Wood, S., 2011. Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal
¹⁴⁷⁵ likelihood estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. Journal
¹⁴⁷⁶ of the Royal Statistical Society (B) 73 (1), 3–36.

A. parametric coefficients	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
(Intercept)	218.1934	2.4675	88.4269	< 0.0001
Condition=high variance	1.8210	3.1827	0.5722	0.5672
Target Position=bottom right	24.5794	1.1176	21.9920	< 0.0001
Target Position=top left	-19.5370	1.1153	-17.5176	< 0.0001
Target Position=top right	6.3936	1.0787	5.9272	< 0.0001
B. smooth terms	edf	Ref.df	F-value	p-value
s(Time, VOT)	65.7065	67.7241	98.4949	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Target Pos=bottom left)	1.0020	1.0021	895.7533	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Target Pos=bottom right)	3.9897	3.9996	360.9250	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Target Pos=top left)	3.9793	3.9991	321.4577	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Target Pos=top right)	3.9414	3.9965	254.7427	< 0.0001
s(Time, SubjectTarget)	1827.0807	2145.0000	11.2009	< 0.0001

1477 Appendix A. Model summary Distance from Target Experiment 1

Appendix B. Model summary Distance from Competitor Experi 1479 ment 1

A. parametric coefficients	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
(Intercept)	328.7309	2.3943	137.2987	< 0.0001
Condition=high variance	1.1495	3.2648	0.3521	0.7248
Competitor Position=bottom right	22.3582	1.1010	20.3079	< 0.0001
Competitor Position=top left	-24.9015	1.1028	-22.5794	< 0.0001
Competitor Position=top right	5.4476	1.1286	4.8268	< 0.0001
B. smooth terms	edf	Ref.df	F-value	p-value
te(Time, VOT, Cond=low variance)	53.4448	60.7526	14.0871	< 0.0001
te(Time, VOT, Cond=high variance)	50.5629	59.2332	55.6577	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Comp Pos=bottom left)	3.7986	3.8286	84.5055	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Comp Pos=bottom right)	3.9394	3.9480	120.1620	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Comp Pos=topleft)	3.9687	3.9731	118.9750	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Comp Pos=top right)	3.7356	3.7714	87.2358	< 0.0001
s(Time, SubjectTarget)	1707.9899	2143.0000	8.8713	< 0.0001

1480	Appendix 0	C. Model	summary Distance	from Target Experiment 2
------	------------	----------	------------------	--------------------------

A. parametric coefficients	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
(Intercept)	233.5211	2.7040	86.3600	< 0.0001
Conditionw	0.2531	3.9043	0.0648	0.9483
Target Pos=bottom right	13.1427	1.2193	10.7791	< 0.0001
Target Pos=top left	-15.0850	1.2133	-12.4332	< 0.0001
Target Pos=top right	-1.2133	1.1551	-1.0504	0.2935
B. smooth terms	edf	Ref.df	F-value	p-value
te(Time, pitch, Cond=low variance)	62.0047	66.4747	87.4145	< 0.0001
te(Time, pitch, Cond=high variance)	63.7441	68.0654	81.5326	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Target Pos=bottom left)	1.1556	1.1963	676.2567	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Target Pos=bottom right)	3.9791	3.9969	273.9594	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Target Pos=top left)	3.9738	3.9958	261.2926	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Target Pos=top right)	2.8467	3.3261	260.6682	< 0.0001
s(Time, SubjectTarget)	873.1670	1049.0000	14.9350	< 0.0001

Appendix D. Model summary Distance from Competitor Experi 1482 ment 2

A. parametric coefficients	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
(Intercept)	324.2913	2.4692	131.3371	< 0.0001
Condition=high variance	0.0748	3.4645	0.0216	0.9828
Competitor Position=bottom right	9.5556	1.1813	8.0890	< 0.0001
Competitor Position=top left	-23.4422	1.1812	-19.8457	< 0.0001
Competitor Position $=$ top right	-1.8628	1.2080	-1.5420	0.1231
B. smooth terms	edf	Ref.df	F-value	p-value
te(Time, pitch, Cond=low variance)	50.0866	57.7930	82.9908	< 0.0001
te(Time, pitch, Cond=high variance)	51.9104	60.6567	78.8503	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Comp Pos=bottom left)	3.7987	3.8324	130.6464	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Comp Pos=bottom right)	3.8077	3.8361	111.8629	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Comp Pos=top left)	3.9669	3.9721	127.8361	< 0.0001
ti(Time, Comp Pos=top right)	3.7000	3.7449	105.7310	< 0.0001
s(Time, SubjectTarget)	848.9467	1049.0000	12.6510	< 0.0001

¹⁴⁸³ Appendix E. Raw data Experiment 1



Raw data for target distance (top row) and competitor distance (bottom row) over time per VOT value in the low-variance (left panels) and high-variance conditions (right panels) in Experiment 1. Data was aggregated to 10 Hz (100 ms intervals) for the purposes of plotting. Time is on the x-axis. Centred VOT value is on the y-axis. Category means are at VOT -2.5 (for the unaspirated stimuli, e.g. bou2) and 2.5 (for the aspirated stimuli, e.g. pou2). Distance from the target/competitor is on the z-axis, represented by colour codes. Higher values (shown in yellow) indicate a relatively greater distance; lower values (shown in blue) indicate a relatively shorter distance. The key at the bottom left of each panel shows the corresponding pixel values and z-limits for each model plot. Note that the height range differs between the target and competitor: the target plots range between 80 and 320 pixels, whereas the competitor plots range between 200 and 440 pixels. (The scale is the same). To assist with interpretation of the topographical plots, an illustration showing the relation of the topographical plots of to line plots of the same raw data is provided in Appendix G.





Raw data for target distance (top row) and competitor distance (bottom row) over time per pitch value in the low-variance (left panels) and high-variance conditions (right panels) in Experiment 2. Data was aggregated to 10 Hz (100 ms intervals) for the purposes of plotting. Time is on the x-axis. Centred pitch value is on the y-axis. Category means are at pitch -2.5 and 2.5. Distance of fixations from the target/competitor is on the z-axis, represented by colour codes. Higher values (shown in yellow) indicate a relatively greater distance; lower values (shown in blue) indicate a relatively shorter distance. The key at the bottom left of each panel shows the corresponding pixel values and z-limits for each model plot. Note that the height range differs between the target and competitor. (The scale is the same).



Appendix G. Illustration of the relation between topographic plots and line plots.

This illustration is intended to assist with interpretation, particularly for readers who are unfamilar with topographic plots. The plots show the raw data for Competitor Distance in Experiment 1. The same data are represented in two ways. In all panels, time is on the x-axis. In the topographic plots (upper panel), centred VOT value is plotted on the y-axis. In the line plots (lower panel), in contrast, centred VOT value is represented as individual, colour-coded lines. For each value of centred VOT, the lines at the right edge of the topographic plot panels indicate the line colour in the line plot and the corresponding location on y-axis of the topographic plot. In the topographic plots, distance from the competitor is plotted on the z-axis, represented by colour codes. Higher values (shown in yellow) indicate a relatively greater distance; lower values (shown in blue) indicate a relatively shorter distance. The key at the top left of each panel shows the corresponding pixel values and z-limits for each model plot. In the line plots, in contrast, distance from competitor is represented on the y-axis.